

## ATHENA SWAN BRONZE DEPARTMENT AWARDS

Recognise that in addition to institution-wide policies, the department is working to promote gender equality and to identify and address challenges particular to the department and discipline.

## ATHENA SWAN SILVER DEPARTMENT AWARDS

In addition to the future planning required for Bronze department recognition, Silver department awards recognise that the department has taken action in response to previously identified challenges and can demonstrate the impact of the actions implemented.

Note: Not all institutions use the term 'department'. There are many equivalent academic groupings with different names, sizes and compositions. The definition of a 'department' can be found in the Athena SWAN awards handbook.

## COMPLETING THE FORM

## DO NOT ATTEMPT TO COMPLETE THIS APPLICATION FORM WITHOUT READING THE ATHENA SWAN AWARDS HANDBOOK.

This form should be used for applications for Bronze and Silver department awards.
You should complete each section of the application applicable to the award level you are applying for.

If you need to insert a landscape page in your application, please copy and paste the template page at the end of the document, as per the instructions on that page. Please do not insert any section breaks as to do so will disrupt the page numbers.

## WORD COUNT

The overall word limit for applications are shown in the following table.
There are no specific word limits for the individual sections and you may distribute words over each of the sections as appropriate. At the end of every section, please state how many words you have used in that section.

We have provided the following recommendations as a guide.
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## 1. LETTER OF ENDORSEMENT FROM THE HEAD OF DEPARTMENT

Recommended word count: Bronze: 500 (+ 200) words. Actual: 684.
An accompanying letter of endorsement from the head of department should be included. If the head of department is soon to be succeeded, or has recently taken up the post, applicants should include an additional short statement from the incoming head.
Note: Please insert the endorsement letter immediately after this cover page.

# University of $\mid$ Founded St Andrews 1413 <br> School of History 

18 March 2018
Dear Equality Charters Manager,
I am writing as the Head of School of History at the University of St Andrews to endorse the School's application for an Athena SWAN Bronze Award, which is enclosed with this letter.

Since becoming Head of School in 2014, I have made inclusivity, fairness, and compassion my lodestars and have tried to be humane and compassionate to all members of the School - regardless of gender, race, ethnicity, nationality - acting on the basis of each individual's circumstances. I have encouraged four future female leaders to take part in the Aurora programme; have supported the University's new Elizabeth Garrett Mentoring Programme for senior women; and have tried to foster a relaxed working environment in which no member of staff, however junior, feels inhibited.

Also since 2014, our School Executive team has been more balanced than in the past and the key roles of Deputy Head of School, Director of Research, and Director of Teaching have been female for much of the time.

The Athena SWAN process became a major objective at a point when I was still acclimatizing myself to a new environment (having been made Head of School within two years of my appointment to St Andrews in 2012). The Deputy Head, Dr Bridget Heal, took on responsibility for Athena SWAN as part of the Deputy Head's portfolio until she went on research leave, at which point Professor Frances Andrews, also a member of the University Court, became our Athena SWAN Chair, working in tandem with the new Deputy Head Dr John Clark. Both Chairs have been given my full backing to delegate tasks across the school as part of the formation of the submission and generally to advance equality, with activity mainstreamed to become an essential part of the school workload model.

Over the past two sessions Athena SWAN has been a core fixture on the Agenda of both the School Executive and the School Staff Council. Indeed, we recently devoted a special session of the School Staff Council exclusively to Athena SWAN matters. We have both an Athena SWAN Committee and an Equality and Diversity Committee, which report to the School Executive through the Deputy Head or at periodic meetings of the Executive with Degree Chairs - as illustrated in Figure 4.

No institution is perfect. Supporting cultural and practical change means not only instituting new structures and processes, but also being ready to confront individuals whose practices and behaviours could disrupt positive developments. In my four sessions as Head of School I have done this, while seeking to maintain the School's collegial harmony. As a result, I believe there has indeed been a culture change in the past few sessions.

I fully endorse and support our ambitions for the future set out in our action plan, and confirm that the information presented in this application (including qualitative and quantitative data) is an honest, accurate and true representation of the School of History.

Yours,


Colin Kidd,
Head of School
St Katharine's Lodge, 14 The Scores, St Andrews, Fife KY16 9BA, Scotland T: +44 (0)1334462900 F: +44 (0)1334462914
E: history@st-andrews.ac.uk W: www.st-andrews.ac.uk/history

The University of St Andrews is a charity registered in Scotland, No: SC013532
(494 words)

# University of St Andrews 

School of History

13 April 2018
Dear Equality Charters Manager,
I write as incoming Head of School (from August 2018) to offer my full support to the attached application. I have been a member of the SAT during 2017-18 and intend to remain a part of the committee as HoS. I am therefore fully aware of the committee's past work and am committed to the actions needed to take it forward. As outlined in the application, our intention is for the work of the SAT and the EDC to closely inform the conduct and work of the School Executive committee.

The SAT's work has uncovered several areas for attention and further action. Some of these are linked to gender balance and work environment for staff (e.g. mentoring, promotions, workload transparency, distribution of administrative positions). Others are linked to teaching, the 'pipeline', and student outcomes - notably the possible influence of types of assessment on degree outcomes by gender. The monitoring of these diversity and equality issues, and the development of strategies to improve matters, will be a priority in the running of the School over the period of my tenure as HoS.

Yours sincerely,

Simon MacLean
sm89@st-andrews.ac.uk

71 South Street, St Andrews, Fife KY16 9QW, Scotland
Tel: (01334) 463308 Fax: (01334) 463334 e-mail: medhist@st-andrews.ac.uk

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEPARTMENT

Bronze: 500 words. Actual: 509 words.
Please provide a brief description of the department including any relevant contextual information. Present data on the total number of academic staff, professional and support staff and students by gender.

The School of History is in the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences and is located on three sites in the centre of St Andrews. It has ninety-eight staff, fifty-six on open-ended contracts ( 23 female, 33 male), nineteen on fixed term contracts ( 10 female, 9 male) and twentythree Graduate Teaching Assistants (GTAs). Ninety are academics (40 female, 50 male), and 8 are Professional Support Staff (PSS). The overall staff gender balance is $47 \%$ female [Table 1] but despite recent increases, the largest category, Research \& Teaching [Figures $1 \& 2$ ], has the lowest proportion of women: 35\%, compared to $33 \%$ at institutional level.

Table 1 Total staff population (Dec 2017)

| Job Category | Female | Male | \% <br> Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GTA <br> Research Only <br> Research Professor <br> Teaching Only <br> Research and <br> Teaching <br> PSS | 13 | 10 | $57 \%$ |
| Total | 46 | 30 | $35 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $700 \%$ |

Figure 1 Job category by gender (Dec 2017)* CHART REDACTED

Figure 2 Distribution of Staff by Job Category (Dec 2017)


The December 2017 ethnicity profile for the School shows the staff on open-ended or fixed-term contracts is $84 \%$ white, with the remaining $16 \%$ disclosed as Asian, Other, Information Refused or Not Known (actual proportions or numbers are not available due to Data Protection). The School will be engaging in the University's Race Charter Initiative

[^0]from September 2018, with the aim of aligning with sector best-practice in attracting BME staff.

We offer undergraduate degrees in History, Mediaeval, Modern and Scottish History, Middle East Studies, joint degrees with most disciplines in the Faculty, and fourteen MLitt (PGT) programmes. Research Centres and Institutes provide focal points for staff and students working in particular fields. Ancient History is taught in Classics, and History Students may take modules in both Schools. Most UGs graduate with an MA (Hons) after four years. The degree follows the Scottish route: in years one and two, History is studied alongside other subjects; in the final two years students take 100\% History modules (or $50 \%$ if taking a joint degree). Each year we offer c. 70 third-level and 30 fourth-level modules.

Table 2 Student Population (2017/18)

| Degree Level | Female | Male | Total | \% <br> Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | 338 | 272 | 610 | $55 \%$ |
| Postgraduate Taught | 24 | 47 | 71 | $34 \%$ |
| Postgraduate Research | 32 | 36 | 68 | $47 \%$ |
| Total | 394 | 355 | 749 | $53 \%$ |

Figure 3 Student Population by gender (2017/18)


## Structure

The School has a single HoS, who chairs the Executive, which meets fortnightly during teaching and is attended by the dHoS, DoR, DoT, Dol, DoPGR, DoPGT, DoLI, and SOC. Policies are strongly influenced by the Offices of the University Principal and Deans, while the HoS works closely with the SOC.

Four times a year the HoS chairs the School Staff Council (SSC) which includes all academic, research and teaching staff. The other decision-making committees are (with chair and
frequency):

1. Teaching and Curriculum Committee; DoT: twice a semester, or as needed.
2. Postgraduate Committee; DoPGs: once a semester.
3. Degree Committees; Degree Chairs: History, Mediaeval, Middle Eastern Studies, Modern, Scottish: twice a semester.
4. Athena Swan SAT; AS Champion. Has met six times since autumn 2017 but will meet twice a semester after submission. Linked to Executive by Deputy HoS who sits on both.
5. Equality and Diversity Committee (formerly Equal Opportunities Committee); E\&D Officer: twice a semester.
6. Staff-Student Committee; DoT, Degree Chairs, DoPGT, Student President, 2 Student Representatives per academic year (one Mediaeval, one Modern); Subhonours module coordinators in attendance: once a semester.

We also have informal Research, Teaching or E\&D discussion lunches, alternating before each SSC.

Figure 4 School Structure


## 3. THE SELF-ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Bronze: 1000 words. Actual: 624 words.
Describe the self-assessment process. This should include:
(i) a description of the self-assessment team

After discussions in spring 2016 between the HoS, Deputy HoS and the convenor of the School's EOC, the HoS asked Dr Bridget Heal (dHoS), with Professors Frances Andrews and Richard Whatmore to serve as AS Champions, taking responsibility for constructing Staff and Student Equality and Diversity Surveys. An initial SAT also included representatives of PSS, PGR, PGT, UG students and the Convenor of the EOC. Following a staff survey, conducted in September 2016, two working parties were established, the first examining organisation and culture (O\&C) and the second our workload model. The first consisted of Bridget Heal, Frances Andrews and 6 volunteers: 5 lecturers and 1 research fellow
(female). The second consisted of Richard Whatmore, the DoT and Degree Committee Chairs. At the end of 2016-17 members of this working party were, at their request, coopted to membership of either the SAT or a new E\&D Committee (E\&DC) designed to develop E\&D activities beyond AS Bronze concerns alone, with the result that both now include strong representation from all grades and backgrounds.

Table 3 SAT 2017-18 (Alphabetical order)

| Name/ Gender | SAT Role | Career stage | St Andrews Biography/ SAT Expertise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frances <br> Andrews ${ }^{\dagger}$ | SAT Chair 2017- <br> AS Champion 2016O\&C Working group 2016-17 | Professor | Joined 1995. <br> Teaching includes history of social exclusion. Dual career. |
| John Clark | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deputy HoS 2017- } \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | Senior Lecturer | Joined 2000. <br> Research and teaching interests in gender history. |
| Sarah EasterbySmith | O\&C Working group 2016-17 | Lecturer | Joined 2012. <br> Research and teaching interests in gender history. |
| Will Eves | Postdoctoral Member | Research Fellow | Joined 2017. |
| Kate Ferris | E\&DC Chair 201718 O\&C Working group 2016-17 | Reader | Joined 2009. Research interests in Gender History. |
| Justine <br> Firnhaber-Baker | O\&C Working group 2016-17 | Senior Lecturer | Joined 2010. <br> Teaching interests in Gender History. |
| Simon Maclean | Incoming HoS | Professor | Joined 2002. <br> Research interests in Gender History. |
| Angus Stewart | Convenor School EOC 2013-17 | Lecturer | Joined 2001. <br> Research and teaching interests in Cultural Encounters. |
| Audrey Wishart | PS staff <br> O\&C working group 2016-17 | Administrator | Joined 2004. |
| Sophia Silverman | PGT rep 2017-18 | PGT | Started 2017 |
| Hailey Ogle | PGR rep | PGR | Started 2017. |
| Alice Foulis | Student President | UG | Started 2017. |

[^1](ii) an account of the self-assessment process

Discussion of gender equality in the University (beyond STEMM) was triggered by the Royal Historical Society 2015 Gender Report. We invited Prof. Dame Jinty Nelson (author of the Report's foreword), Prof. Dame Ottoline Leyser (Cambridge) and 5 female professors from the University to speak at a well-attended discussion forum, chaired by the Principal.
Figure 5 Open Forum: Breaking Through the Glass Ceiling. April 2015


The forum led to the establishment of the initial SAT in 2016. From June 2015 the convenor of the School's EOC attended the University's E\&DC/AS meetings, and during 2015-16 worked alongside the dHoS to introduce the School to the AS Charter and to the University's AS support team. The School was invited to comment on the University E\&DC proposals for revisions to academic promotion criteria, to consider issues such as gender balance amongst seminar speakers, and to complete online training modules on diversity and unconscious bias.

In 2016-17 AS became a standing item on the agenda of the Executive and SSC. During August and September 2016 discussions within the SAT (conducted via email and face-toface) focussed on preparation of the Staff E\&DS, in consultation with the University's AS team and SATs in other Schools. In September 2016 all academic and professional support staff in the School were invited to complete the survey. The response rate of $71 \%$ suggests that most engaged with the AS process from the start.

On receiving the Staff E\&DS returns, the workload working party met in December 2016 [see section 5.6 (v)], and reported to the Executive and SSC in February 2017. The O\&C working party met in January and February 2017 and proposed several initiatives which were discussed at an AS lunch, to which all staff were invited and at the SSC on 8 February 2017. These informed our initial APs.

In early 2017 the SAT, assisted by the DoT and DoPG, also prepared a Student E\&DS, which all UG, PGT and PGR students were invited to complete. The response rate was low: UG $15 \%$, PGT $10 \%$, PGR $35 \%$. Engaging students at all levels with our AS submission and with E\&D issues is a high priority. The AS Champions held meetings with student representatives in March and October 2017 and February 2018, to find ways to address the low turn-out.

- Action 3.1: Revised Student E\&DS with new introduction to be issued through the School and the Student History Society mailing list and to be mentioned during student social events and in lectures.

Figure 6 Timeline of Self-Assessment Process


## (iii) plans for the future of the self-assessment team

Our aim is to maintain a strong E\&D policy and up-to-date AS Action Plan, informed by excellent data on all aspects of what we do. After submission of this application the SAT will meet twice a semester to drive this agenda. The newly constituted E\&DC will also continue to meet twice a semester, to support the work of the SAT (with some shared membership) and to move forward on other E\&D issues including race, disability, religion, sexual orientation and age.

Action 3.2 SAT and E\&DC meetings to be embedded in School Schedule of Leading Dates each summer.

## 4. A PICTURE OF THE DEPARTMENT

Bronze: 2000 words. Actual: 2162 words.

### 4.1. STUDENT DATA

(i) Numbers of men and women on access or foundation courses: $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$
(ii) Numbers of undergraduate students by gender

Full- and part-time by programme. Provide data on course applications, offers, and acceptance rates, and degree attainment by gender.

There are 610 UGs studying in the School in 2017-18, 55\% female, which exceeds the national average [Table 4/Figure 7]. This is in line with the larger number of applications received from women. However, whilst overall UG numbers have been increasing, the female percentage has slightly declined, moving closer to the national average.

Table 4 Undergraduate population by year and gender

| Academic <br> Year | Female | Male | Total <br> Students | \% Female | National <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | 338 | 272 | 610 | $55 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | 328 | 265 | 593 | $55 \%$ | - |
| $2015-16$ | 314 | 262 | 576 | $55 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2014-15$ | 316 | 222 | 537 | $59 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| $2013-14$ | 286 | 191 | 478 | $60 \%$ | $51 \%$ |

Figure 7 Percentage female undergraduates against national average


Our part-time UG numbers are too small to identify trends [Table 5], perhaps partly a product of the small population local to St Andrews.

Table 5 Part-time Undergraduates by gender (data as headcount, recorded since 2015-16)

| Academic <br> Year | Female | Male | \% <br> Female |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  | $100 \%$ |
| $2015-16$ |  |  | - |

In addition to the day-time degree programmes, the University's Lifelong Learning programme also in part accounts for the lack of part-time UGs and ensures widening participation through the part-time Evening Degree, for which the School has offered modules since 2001, with high female take-up (60-79\% of the cohort) [Table 6].

Table 6 Lifelong learning student population - History modules

| Academic <br> Year | Female | Male | Total | \%Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |  | $79 \%$ |
| $2015-16$ |  |  |  | $60 \%$ |
| $2014-15$ | 19 | 11 | 30 | $63 \%$ |
| $2013-14$ |  |  |  | $73 \%$ |
| $2012-13$ | 24 | 15 | 39 | $62 \%$ |

Table 7 and Figure 8 show that the rate of UG offers since 2012-14 favours women (with an average of $5.6 \%$ variance between genders), but the percentages accepting those offers are closely comparable.

Table 7 Undergraduate application, offers, acceptances and entrants (single \& joint honours)

| Year of entry | Offer Type | Female | Male |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2017-18 | Applications | 740 | 541 |
|  | Offers | 338 | 237 |
|  | Acceptances | 111 | 77 |
|  | Entrants | 88 | 64 |
| 2016-17 | Applications | 727 | 573 |
|  | Offers | 358 | 206 |
|  | Acceptances | 108 | 70 |
|  | Entrants | 89 | 54 |
| $2015-16$ | Applications | 622 | 535 |
|  | Offers | 288 | 239 |
|  | Acceptances | 115 | 119 |
|  | Entrants | 67 | 70 |
| $2014-15$ | Applications | 619 | 494 |
|  | Offers | 322 | 236 |
|  | Acceptances | 142 | 130 |
|  | Entrants | 96 | 84 |
| $2013-14$ | Applications | 624 | 467 |
|  | Offers | 265 | 170 |
|  | Acceptances | 140 | 80 |
|  | Entrants | 89 | 49 |

Figure 8 Undergraduate applications converting to offers


Figure 9 reveals that there is no gender bias between offers and entrants (the overall variance is $1.2 \%$ in favour of women since 2013-14). This suggests good practice is in place and should continue. The University Admissions team explained that in addition to meeting or exceeding minimum grade requirements, personal statements and references are used to deepen perceptions of each UG applicant and, to ensure widening
participation, data is contextualised before decisions are made. Qualifying grades from pupils attending low progression schools are, for example, acknowledged as indicative of potential and commitment. The School of History itself has participated in the Sutton Trust Summer School each year since 2008, and such participation is flagged on UCAS applications.

Figure 9 Student Undergraduate offers converting to entrants


Figure 10 The Sutton Trust


Table 8/Figure 11 reveal that when broken down by degree intention, our Single Honours programmes have recently been moving closer to a $50: 50$ split. However substantially more women than men take History as part of a joint honours degree (HESA figures are not available for single and joint honours students, so we cannot yet test this against national benchmarks).

Table 8 Undergraduates by Honours Intention

| Academic <br> Year | Honours <br> Intention | Female* | Male* | Total <br> Students | \% Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | Single | 233 | 207 | 439 | $53 \%$ |
|  | Joint | 106 | 65 | 171 | $62 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | Single | 231 | 202 | 433 | $53 \%$ |
|  | Joint | 96 | 64 | 160 | $60 \%$ |
| $2015-16$ | Single | 227 | 201 | 427 | $53 \%$ |
|  | Joint | 88 | 61 | 149 | $59 \%$ |
| $2014-15$ | Single | 232 | 177 | 409 | $57 \%$ |
|  | Joint | 84 | 45 | 129 | $65 \%$ |
| $2013-14$ | Single | 219 | 142 | 361 | $61 \%$ |
|  | Joint | 68 | 49 | 117 | $58 \%$ |

*Numbers include all students rounded up to nearest whole.

Figure 11 Undergraduates by degree type and gender


The SAT discussed the higher percentage of women taking History. Admission to Joint Honours is not entirely within the School's remit, a product of the Scottish four-year degree, which allows students to change degree intention. We agreed that as our aim is to address the leaky pipeline further along the career path [see tables in section 4.1], we accept we will continue to admit higher numbers of women at UG level. We nonetheless invited the School Admissions Officer (SAO) to a SAT meeting to discuss this and undertook an analysis of the gender balance of subjects with which we share joint degrees [Figure 12].

Figure 12 Schools affiliated through Joint Honours programmes showing \% female


Figure 13 Percentage female students within 2016-17 UG population


The largest group of students taking joint honours with History comes from International Relations. Their UG population for 2016-17 was 62\% female; 7\% higher than in the School of History that year [Figure 13].

As well as consulting with Central Units, we also re-examined our own in-School processes and realised that in the past three years, Visiting Days have been led by male colleagues, with no female role models present.

Action 4.1 Discussion of detailed figures on UG recruitment and identifiable trends supplied by Admissions Team to be a standing item for the SAT. SAO to be an exofficio member of the SAT.

Action 4.2 We will trial male and female colleagues giving Visiting Day talks together, or staff of the gender not presenting to students being available to chat informally to students and parents, and will keep a record of staff participants.

Action 4.3 We will liaise with other Schools as they work towards their AS Accreditation in order to gain a better understanding of what drives our Joint Honours recruitment.

Table 9 Undergraduate degree awards by classification (calculated as headcount, percentages as proportion of year's gender group)

| Year of Award | Classification | Female | Male | $\%$ <br> Female | \% Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-17 | 1st |  |  | 22\% | 31\% |
|  | 2:1 |  |  | 75\% | 66\% |
|  | 2:2 |  |  | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 3rd |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2015-16 | 1st |  |  | 19\% | 27\% |
|  | 2:1 |  |  | 74\% | 72\% |
|  | 2:2 |  |  | 7\% | 2\% |
|  | 3rd |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2014-15 | 1st |  |  | 20\% | 25\% |
|  | 2:1 |  |  | 73\% | 72\% |
|  | 2:2 |  |  | 7\% | 4\% |
|  | 3rd |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2013-14 | 1st |  |  | 25\% | 37\% |
|  | 2:1 |  |  | 69\% | 54\% |
|  | 2:2 |  |  | 5\% | 9\% |
|  | 3rd |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2012-13 | 1st |  |  | 18\% | 23\% |
|  | 2:1 |  |  | 76\% | 69\% |
|  | 2:2 |  |  | 6\% | 8\% |
|  | 3 rd |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |

Figure 14 Undergraduate degree awards by classification (percentages as proportion of year's gender group)


A lower percentage of the female cohort than the male attains firsts, while women outperform men at 2.1 level [Figure 14]. The numbers are small [Table 9], but this disparity is consistent and is a matter of real concern. Break down by Single and Joint Honours reveals that the Single Honours degree is a contributing factor [Table 10/Figure 15].

Table 10 Undergraduate Awards gained by programme type and gender (2016-17)

| Award <br> Classification | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single | Joint | Single | Joint |
| 1 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 10 |
| 2.1 | 51 | 26 | 28 | 18 |
| 2.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Figure 15 Undergraduate awards by classification and programme type, 2016-17 (percentages as proportion of year's gender group)


After SAT discussion, we agreed that the probable cause of differences in attainment relates to assessment. The School offers a variety of assessment options in third and fourth year, combining written and oral coursework and seen and unseen examination formats. In October 2017 we started a data-mining exercise to establish whether there is any correlation between types of assessment and the gender of student or teacher. Results will be available in June 2018. Meanwhile, in order to assist students in fulfilling their potential and ensure that confidence and presentation are not a reason for lower female achievement, the School introduced an 'Honours Skills' module in semester one, which all third years are encouraged to attend. It is designed to build self-confidence for class participation and the types of writing that are required in years three and four.

Action 4.4 We will use the results of the data-mining exercise, due after completion of an academic cycle (June 2018), to set benchmarks and reshape future assessment practices.

Action 4.5 We will acquire student feedback on the new Honours Skills module, monitor attendance and results by gender, and modify the module as needed for semester 1 2018-19.
(iii) Numbers of men and women on postgraduate taught degrees

Full- and part-time. Provide data on course application, offers and acceptance rates and degree completion rates by gender.

NB: None of our 14 PGT courses averages more than 15 students. As trends for small figures are difficult to discern, the numbers are aggregated here.

Table 11 Postgraduate Taught population with benchmarking

| Academic <br> Year | Female | Male | Total | \% <br> Female | National <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | 24 | 47 | 71 | $34 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | 31 | 28 | 59 | $53 \%$ | - |
| $2015-16$ | 22 | 25 | 47 | $46 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2014-15$ | 23 | 32 | 55 | $42 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2013-14$ | 28 | 30 | 58 | $48 \%$ | $54 \%$ |

Figure 16 Percentage of female History PGT student Population


Table 12 Part-time PGT student population (data recorded since 2015-16) CHART REDACTED

Table 13 PGT applications, offers, acceptances \& entrants

| Year of Entry | Offer Type | Female | Male |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | Applications | 82 | 121 |
|  | Offers | 61 | 93 |
|  | Acceptances | 24 | 47 |
|  | Entrants | 23 | 46 |
| $2016-17$ | Applications | 100 | 92 |
|  | Offers | 78 | 67 |
|  | Acceptances | 35 | 30 |
|  | Entrants | 31 | 28 |
| $2015-16$ | Applications | 76 | 75 |
|  | Offers | 57 | 55 |
|  | Acceptances | 25 | 27 |
|  | Entrants | 21 | 25 |
| $2014-15$ | Applications | 78 | 82 |
|  | Offers | 71 | 71 |
|  | Acceptances | 25 | 35 |
|  | Entrants | 23 | 32 |
| $2013-14$ | Applications | 80 | 73 |
|  | Offers | 58 | 56 |
|  | Acceptances | 31 | 30 |
|  | Entrants | 27 | 30 |

Figure 17 Percentage of PGT female History applications, offers, acceptances and entrants


The PGT cohort fluctuates. In 2016/17 for the first time it comprised a greater number of females than males (53\% to 47\%) [Table 11]. In 2017-18 this has dropped back to 34\% female, despite substantial cohort growth (though part-time numbers are again very small [Table 12]). National benchmarking indicates a gradual increase in female representation in recent years (54\% in 2013/14-57\% 2015/16) whereas the School's PGT female cohort
has averaged $45 \%$ over the last 5 years, $c .5 \%$ below parity [Figure 16]. Offers are made on the basis of assessment of UG grades (normally a good 2:1 degree or equivalent), samples of written work and references. Offer rates are high and in 2014-16 the School was making more offers to female candidates in relation to application numbers [Table 13]. This year, the number (and percentage) of female applications has dropped substantially [Figure 17], as has the percentage of female offers converted to entrants. One year is not a trend, but this drop is a matter of deep concern. We aim to increase female application numbers and conversions and, after discussion with current students, have identified lack of information about maternity leave, childcare and part-time study as possible reasons for lower female applications and conversions.

Action 4.6 Ensure presentations and both text and images in School and University recruitment materials are diverse and gender-balanced and include information on University provision for maternity/paternity leave, childcare and our openness to taking part time students.

Action 4.7 PGT Programme Coordinators encouraged to work with the University's Admissions Office to provide on-line discussions with prospective female students. We are also introducing a new recruitment video, making sure that it is diverse and inclusive and that our various School blogs aim for diversity and gender balance.

Action 4.8 PGT Programme Coordinators to initiate e-mail correspondence with prospective female students directly in the wake of offers.

Table 14 PGT Completion rates (headcount)


Table 14 shows that the percentage of PGT students completing their intended degree is very high overall, with no clear gender difference in those changing the intended qualification, though those not completing any qualification at all were all female.

Action 4.9 The SAT, in discussion with the DoPGT, agreed that although numbers are small we need to establish the reasons for non-completion, so we will start to
follow up with all PGT students who do not complete. The University has also changed the banding for assessment, while also reducing workload in line with peer institutions, which should ensure that more students graduate with an MLitt.
(iv) Numbers of men and women on postgraduate research degrees

Full- and part-time. Provide data on course application, offers, acceptance and degree completion rates by gender.

Table 15 PGR population by gender

| Academic Year | Female | Male | Total <br> Students | \% <br> Female | National <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | 32 | 36 | 68 | $47 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | 31 | 34 | 65 | $47 \%$ | - |
| $2015-16$ | 28 | 52 | 79 | $35 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| $2014-15$ | 27 | 46 | 73 | $37 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| $2013-14$ | 24 | 39 | 63 | $38 \%$ | $47 \%$ |

Figure 18 Percentage of female History PGR Student population


Table 16 Part-time PGR students (data collected since 2015-16)

| Academic <br> Year | Part-time students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female Male | \% Female |
| $2017-18$ |  | $50 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  | $50 \%$ |
| $2015-16$ |  | $40 \%$ |

Table 15 shows our overall percentage of female PGRs has fluctuated between $35 \%$ and $47 \%$, below or at the national average, but often below [Figure 18]. Table 16 shows that we again have very small numbers of part-time students at this level, and that they are fairly evenly gender balanced.

Table 17 PGR applications, offers, acceptances \& entrants

| Year of Entry | Offer Type | Female | Male |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | Applications | 27 | 38 |
|  | Offers | 24 | 31 |
|  | Acceptances | 10 | 12 |
|  | Entrants |  |  |
| $2016-17$ | Applications | 30 | 39 |
|  | Offers | 23 | 32 |
|  | Acceptances | 15 | 10 |
| 2015-16 | Entrants |  |  |
|  | Applications | 28 | 45 |
|  | Offers | 25 | 39 |
|  | Acceptances | 10 | 22 |
| 2014-15 | Entrants |  |  |
|  | Applications | 21 | 39 |
|  | Offers | 19 | 30 |
| 2013-14 | Acceptances |  |  |
|  | Entrants |  |  |
|  | Applications | 36 | 61 |
|  | Offers | 25 | 43 |
|  | Acceptances | 12 | 26 |
|  | Entrants | 10 | 22 |

Figure 19 Percentage PGR female History applications, offers, acceptances and entrants


Table 17 reveals that the School consistently receives significantly more male applications for PGR studies. The conversion of applicants to offers is then comparatively balanced, however the conversion from offers to entrants is less stable. In 2016-17, 57\% of women who were offered a place became entrants. For 2017-18, the percentage offers to entrants
by gender was very close ( $39 \%$ female, $38 \%$ male). The overall percentage from application to entrant was also very close: $33 \%$ for women, $32 \%$ for men. These numbers suggest that neither gender was particularly favoured and that a key factor explaining the smaller cohort of women is original application numbers (see below AP 4.10).

Discussion with students indicates ability to take up a PhD place is strongly dependent upon funding. It is a priority for the School to achieve gender balance in the allocation of both MLitt and PhD funding, whether through the AHRC or other schemes [See section 5.3 (iv)].

Action 4.10 As for PGT students, we will include a page on our PGR website emphasising maternity/paternity leave and childcare provision and our openness to taking part time students.

Table 18 PGR Completions by gender (headcount) TABLE REDACTED

Table 18 shows that the female percentage of the PGR cohort completing has tended to decline. For comparison, HESA data (based on FPE not FTE) shows that for 2015$16,47 \%$ of those achieving a doctorate in History were women.

The SAT nonetheless investigated non-completion in discussion with current doctoral candidates. Our numbers are small, but it became clear that reasons for noncompletion vary according to individual circumstances and include external financial pressures as well as health. The data also includes part-time students who are not expected to complete within four years.
(v) Progression pipeline between undergraduate and postgraduate student levels Identify and comment on any issues in the pipeline between undergraduate and postgraduate degrees.

Table 19 Student progression pipeline: entrants by gender

| Academic <br> Year | UG |  |  | PGT |  |  | PGR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F |
| $2017-18$ | 88 | 64 | 58 | 23 | 46 | 34 |  |  | 43 |
| $2016-17$ | 89 | 54 | 62 | 31 | 28 | 53 |  |  | 68 |
| $2015-16$ | 67 | 70 | 49 | 21 | 25 | 46 |  |  | 29 |
| $2014-15$ | 96 | 84 | 53 | 23 | 32 | 42 |  |  | 41 |
| $2013-14$ | 89 | 49 | 64 | 27 | 30 | 47 | 10 | 22 | 31 |

Figure 20 Percentage female entrants by level and academic year


We have a consistently higher proportion of female than male students at UG, a balance which reverses at PG [Table 19/Figure 20]. In 2016-17 female PGT and PGR entrants outnumbered male but this has not continued, reminding us that a year's shift in data need not indicate a new trend. Improvement in overall figures will depend heavily on recruitment. Only $13 \%$ of PGT entrants in 2013-2017, but $40 \%$ of PGR were previously St Andrews students (either as UG or PGT). The figure for PGR, where men outnumber women overall, indicates we need to improve support for female progression.

Action 4.11 to encourage and maintain high-quality applications from women, as a first step E\&DC to organise an informal UG session on careers in history for women, to be repeated annually.
Action 4.12 As for PGT, we will redesign our recruitment materials to ensure they show diversity and include women.

### 4.2. ACADEMIC AND RESEARCH STAFF DATA

(i) Academic staff by grade, contract function and gender: research-only, teaching and research or teaching-only

Look at the career pipeline and comment on and explain any differences between men and women. Identify any gender issues in the pipeline at particular grades/job type/academic contract type.

Table 20 Job category to HESA translation to HESA post 2012/13

| University Role | Grade | Higher Education Statistics Agency <br> (HESA) post-2012/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Research-Only | $5-8$ | Researcher |
| Teaching-Only | $5 \& 6$ | Lecturer |
| Lecturer | 7 | Lecturer |
| Senior Lecturer | 8 | Senior Lecturer |
| Reader | 8 |  |

Table 21 Staff population by job category, grade and gender (headcount)

|  | 2017 |  |  | 2016 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F |
| Researchonly |  |  | 47 |  |  | 64 |  |  | 68 |  |  | 59 |  |  | 57 |
| Grade 5 |  |  | 67 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 75 |  |  | 40 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 56 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 57 |
| Grade 7 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| Grade 8 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| Bespoke |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| Research Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Teachingonly |  |  | 60 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 38 |
| Grade 5 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| Grade 6 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 38 |
| Research and Teaching | 16 | 30 | 35 | 16 | 30 | 35 | 16 | 30 | 35 | 15 | 30 | 33 | 14 | 33 | 30 |
| Lecturer |  |  | 30 |  |  | 47 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 42 |
| Lecturer |  |  | 70 |  |  | 57 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 40 |
| Reader |  |  | 33 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 33 |
| Professor |  |  | 20 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 12 |
| Total | 27 | 40 | 40 | 28 | 38 | 42 | 31 | 39 | 44 | 27 | 40 | 40 | 25 | 44 | 36 |

Figure 21 Academic staff gender ratios against benchmarking


The National \% Female represents HESA benchmarking data
Table 22 Academic staff population by gender and part-time/full-time status

| Year | Female |  | Male |  | Part-Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time | Part-time | Full-time | Part-time | \% Female | \% Male |
| 2017 | 25 |  | 39 |  | $66 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 2016 | 25 |  | 36 |  | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 2015 | 26 |  | 38 |  | $83 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| 2014 | 22 |  | 39 |  | $83 \%$ | $17 \%$ |



Table 23 GTA Staff by gender and contract period

| Academic Year | Semester | Female | Male | \%F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | First | 13 | 10 | 57 |
| $2016-17$ | Second |  |  | 30 |
|  | First |  |  | 32 |
| $2015-16$ | Second | 10 | 11 | 48 |
|  | First | 12 | 10 | 55 |
| $2014-15$ | Second | 10 | 11 | 48 |
|  | First | 17 | 12 | 59 |
| $2013-14$ | Second |  |  | 36 |
|  | First |  |  | 44 |
| $2012-13$ | Second |  | 45 |  |

Figure 23 GTA staff by gender


The percentage of female academic staff in History has fluctuated from a low of $36 \%$ (2013) to a high of $44 \%$ (2015) [Table 21/Figures 21-22, 24]. GTA percentages too have oscillated, between $30 \%$ and $59 \%$ female [Table 23/Figure 23: currently 57\%]. In 2017-18 there are more male than female research fellows and more female than male teaching-
focussed Lecturers, with one research-only female professor. Among staff with Research and Teaching contracts, grades 7-9, only female Senior Lecturers outnumber the men. At the level of reader and professor there are significantly more men than women ( $23 \%$ female).

Both men and women have been appointed to part-time contracts [Table 22], but overall the pattern suggests a problem with progressing towards higher grade, full-time contracts for women and especially roles where research is often valued for promotion (grades 8 and 9). Across all Arts schools in St Andrews the pattern is similar up to the level of reader (ranging from $22 \%$ to $27 \%$ over the period). HESA benchmarking data tells the same story: the proportion of female professors in History across the UK has risen from 22.8\% in 2013 to $27.5 \%$ in 2016. The imbalance in History is a matter of concern, particularly as two of our five female professors, both appointed as senior researchers in their fields, are on fixed term contracts. We have introduced APs designed to help female colleagues achieve promotion and to attract female applications to senior posts [See APs 5.2, 5.6, 5.12].

Figure 24 Percentage female History staff within job grouping

*Research Professor excluded as the representation of 1 person may appear misleading.
(ii) Academic and research staff by grade on fixed-term, open-ended/permanent and zero-hour contracts by gender

Comment on the proportions of men and women on these contracts. Comment on what is being done to ensure continuity of employment and to address any other issues, including redeployment schemes.

The University does not employ on zero-hours contracts. In addition to GTAs, who are employed through short-term contracts with specified hours per semester [Table 23], the School also employs 18 academic staff on fixed-term contracts ( 10 women, 8 men), with $56 \%$ of employees in this category being female [Table 24/Figure 25].

Table 24 Fixed-term contracted staff by job category, grade and gender

| Role / Job Category | 2017 |  |  | 2016 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F |
| Research-only |  |  | 50 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 58 |
| Grade 5 |  |  | 67 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 40 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | 38 |  |  | 57 |  |  | 56 |  |  | 44 |  |  | 60 |


| Grade 7 <br> Grade 8 <br> Bespoke | $100$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ - \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | $100$ | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Research Professor | 100 | 100 | - | - | - |
| Teaching-only | 67 | 33 | 33 | 25 | 29 |
| Grade 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grade 6 | 67 | 33 | 33 | 25 | 29 |
| Grade 7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grade 8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Research and Teaching | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Lecturer | - | - | - | - | - |
| Senior Lecturer | - | - | - | - | - |
| Reader | - | - | - | - | - |
| Professor | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Total | 56 | 65 | 67 | 52 | 48 |

Table 25 Standard contracted staff by job category, grade and gender

| Role / Job Category | 2017 |  |  | 2016 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F |
| Researchonly |  |  | 33 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| Grade 5 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| Grade 6 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| Grade 7 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| Grade 8 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| Bespoke |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| Research <br> Professor |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| Teachingonly |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 5 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 6 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research and Teaching |  |  | 34 |  |  | 34 |  |  | 34 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 29 |
|  |  |  | 30 |  |  | 47 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 42 |



Figure 25 Overall percentage female staff by contract type


Figure 26 Percentage contract type by gender


Table 25 and Figure 26 show that there are always proportionally fewer women than men in open-ended contracts (currently $63 \%$ female, $80 \%$ male). This matches the greater presence of women at grade 5 [Table 24] and indicates that proportionally more women than men are in precarious roles, often coinciding with child-bearing age. When the figures
are broken down into research or teaching posts, we have usually employed more female Researchers and more male Associate Lecturers (teaching), in general reflecting application patterns [see 5.1 (i) below]. This year the latter figure has reversed, though the numbers are very small (2:1). We also employ 3 fixed-term professors (one male, two female), who offer teaching and/or research leadership on 0.2FTE contracts. Other fixedterm teaching staff, usually covering for maternity or funded research leave, are employed through the same recruitment process used for staff on open-ended contracts. In the School's workload allocation model [see section 5.6 (v)] they receive the same Early Career Allowance as new staff on open-ended contracts ( 60 notional hours in year one, 50 in year two, 40 in year three). They also have a full annual research allowance (currently $£ 1,200$ ). The School aims through these measures to allow temporary teaching staff to continue to develop the research profiles that will help them secure open-ended positions.

When they are not holders of personal postdoctoral fellowships, fixed-term research staff are usually attached to grants that have limited life-spans. They receive a $£ 600$ research allowance from the School, which is topped up as necessary from project overheads. Both Research and Teaching staff on fixed-term contracts have the same library book purchasing allowance as open-ended contract staff (initially $£ 1000$ per annum).

Action 4.13 To work towards balancing the proportion of men and women on fixedterm and open-ended contracts we will organise a focus group to document and assess the experience of current colleagues and use their responses to devise appropriate interventions.

GTAs [Table 23] are recruited by an open application process within the School. See below, section 5.3 (iv). We aim to ensure that all PGRs have the opportunity to teach at least two tutorial groups, and offer them appropriate training in the School and through CAPOD.
(iii) Academic leavers by grade and gender and full/part-time status

Comment on the reasons academic staff leave the department, any differences by gender and the mechanisms for collecting this data.

Since 2013 sixteen academic women (9 full-time) and twenty-one academic men (16 fulltime) have left the School. Two male academic colleagues on open-ended contracts retired [Tables 26 and 27].
In 2014 the University introduced an online exit questionnaire to determine why staff leave as part of its AS and E\&D programme. From History, three women and five men, all on fixed-term contracts, have so far responded. Four left because of the end of their contracts but one woman gave as a reason 'fears over Brexit' as well as better career prospects. Two men left because of better career prospects, one because of a better remuneration package elsewhere.

Action 4.14 To encourage a higher response rate for the University's exit questionnaire the SOC will write to all departing colleagues and invite a response. SAT will review data annually.

Table 26 Leavers by gender and FT/PT status

| Year | Job Category/ Grade | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FT | PT | FT | PT |
| 2017* | Research-Only |  |  |  |  |


|  | Grade 5 Grade 6 <br> Teaching-Only Grade 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | Research-only <br> Grade 6 <br> Grade 7 <br> Bespoke <br> Teaching-only <br> Grade 6 <br> Research \& Teaching <br> Professor |  |  |
| 2015 | Research-only <br> Grade 5 <br> Grade 6 <br> Teaching-only <br> Grade 6 |  |  |
| 2014 | Research-only <br> Grade 5 <br> Teaching-only <br> Grade 6 <br> Research \& Teaching <br> Senior Lecturer |  |  |
| 2013 | Research \& Teaching Professor |  |  |
|  | Total | 14 | 23 |

*2017 data is 11 months (1 January-30 November)
Table 27 Summary of leavers by contract type and gender TABLE REDACTED

## 5. SUPPORTING AND ADVANCING WOMEN'S CAREERS

Recommended word count: Bronze: 6000 words. Actual: 6045 words.

### 5.1. KEY CAREER TRANSITION POINTS: ACADEMIC STAFF

(i) Recruitment

Break down data by gender and grade for applications to academic posts including shortlisted candidates, offer and acceptance rates. Comment on how the department's recruitment processes ensure that women (and men where there is an underrepresentation in numbers) are encouraged to apply.

Table 28 Academic recruitment by job category, year and gender

| Year | Job Category | Applications |  |  | Shortlisted |  |  | Offers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | M | \% F | F | M | \% F | F | M | \% F |
| 2017* | Research | 34 | 31 | 52\% |  |  | 47\% |  |  | 38\% |
|  | Teaching | 21 | 27 | 44\% |  |  | 75\% |  |  |  |
|  | Lecturer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Senior Lecturer | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
|  | Reader | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | Professor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016 | Research |  |  | 36\% |  |  | 50\% |  |  | 100\% |
|  | Teaching |  |  | 45\% |  |  | 25\% |  |  | 100\% |
|  | Lecturer |  |  | 42\% |  |  | 75\% |  |  |  |
|  | Senior <br> Lecturer | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | Reader | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | Professor | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| 2015 | Research | 49 | 49 | 50\% |  |  | 46\% |  |  |  |
|  | Teaching | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Lecturer | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | Senior | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |
|  | Lecturer | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
|  | Reader | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |
|  | Professor | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| 2014 | Research | 19 | 18 | 51\% |  |  | 38\% |  |  | 67\% |
|  | Teaching |  |  | 35\% |  |  | 25\% |  |  |  |
|  | Lecturer | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |
|  | Senior | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |
|  | Lecturer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reader | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | Professor | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  | - |
| 2013 | Research | 25 | 19 | 57\% |  |  | 63\% |  |  | 75\% |
|  | Teaching | 27 | 64 | 30\% |  |  | 36\% |  |  | 40\% |
|  | Lecturer |  |  | 25\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Senior | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
|  | Lecturer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


*2017 data is 11 months (1 January-30 November)

Table 29 New starts by job category and gender

*2017 data is 11 months (1 January-30 November)

The School observes best practice in its recruitment processes and complies with the University's ED\&I Policy. All staff involved in advertising, shortlisting and interviewing are required to complete an Online Recruitment Training Module (26 of 56 on open-ended contracts had completed it as of January 2018). Recruitment panels always include at least one woman and one man. Academic and research posts are advertised on the University website, through the School's social media and through www.jobs.ac.uk.

Since 2013 [Tables 28 and 29] the School has made 37 appointments, including 3 women to combined research and teaching positions ( 1 lecturer, 2 professors, one on a 0.2 FTE contract) and 4 men ( 2 lecturers, 2 professors), so we have made fewer female appointments to higher grade, research and teaching posts. In 2017 we also had an allmale applicant pool for an open-ended lectureship. This is a matter of serious concern.

Action 5.1 to ensure that women are encouraged to apply to work at St Andrews we are updating our website to ensure that potential recruits are aware of the School's commitment to E\&D and inclusivity and of policies on mentoring, parental leave, and flexible working.

Action 5.2 advertising for all posts to mention the lack of female representation and our commitment to Athena SWAN. We will also implement a policy of no single-sex shortlists for academic posts through institutional HR processes.
Figure 27 A recent advertisement for a postdoctoral position:

(ii) Induction

Describe the induction and support provided to all new academic staff at all levels. Comment on the uptake of this and how its effectiveness is reviewed.

HR provides all new staff with an ID/Library card, IT/email access, and sets them up on the Payroll system. Information is sent to all new colleagues before arrival, including details of relevant online resources. Academics, for example, are sent the link to an Academic Induction page on the website. This explains how the University works and includes video presentations from the Principal's Office and the Students' Association, with signposting to other information and resources. New staff also receive a hard copy of the University Staff Handbook (otherwise available online): a comprehensive guide to working for the University, with contact details and references to sources of further information.

All new staff are invited to two University induction events: 'New Staff Essentials' (monthly, covering essential policies and processes) and 'All Staff Induction', which takes place twice a year. A full day event involving the Principal and key central Units, the latter introduces University resources, including mentoring schemes.

Newly appointed academic and research staff are also contacted by CAPOD within their first month of employment, with information about the professional and career development opportunities available. Since 2013-14, twelve School staff have attended the 'Essentials' Induction Event ( 7 female/5 male), while a further sixteen have been to the All Staff Induction (9 female/7male).

All University Inductions are evaluated using standard feedback forms which are reviewed each time to ensure that induction is as effective and up-to-date as possible. The average 'satisfaction index' calculated from feedback forms following Inductions since 2013-14 is $80.67 \%$ and responses to our School E\&DS also show general satisfaction [see 5.5 below].

Despite overall happiness with provision at University level, induction was identified by the O\&C working party [see $\mathbf{3}$ (ii)] as an area of the School's activities that needed attention.

Action 5.3 In the first week of employment any new member of staff (teaching and research) to meet with HoS. Teaching-only staff to meet the DoT, ASO and relevant DCM Chair; PSS staff to meet with the SOC; Research-only staff to meet PI. Monitoring of effectiveness to occur via staff E\&D survey.

Action 5.4 All relevant documentation on leading dates, School committee membership, teaching, research and E\&D policies to be updated before the summer break each year: School IT officer to have scheduled interviews with all continuing and incoming office-holders in May/June each year and upload to website.

Action 5.5 Informal lunch held before the first SSC of the year, to enable all new staff to meet colleagues.

## (iii) Promotion

Provide data on staff applying for promotion and comment on applications and success rates by gender, grade and full- and part-time status. Comment on how staff are encouraged and supported through the process.

Since 2013 there have been twelve female and thirteen male applications for promotion to Senior Researcher, SL or Reader, and two female, five male applications for promotion to Professor [Figures 28/29]. Nineteen applications were successful, nine women, ten men [Table 30]. The numbers suggest that women are willing to apply and are beginning to enjoy success in achieving promotion (particularly in 2017, when the success rates were at or above AHSSBL averages).

Table 30 Promotion application and success rates

| Year | Role | Applications |  |  |  | Successful |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | M | \% F | $\begin{gathered} \text { AHSSBL } \\ \% \text { F* }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | F | M | \% F | AHSSBL \% F* |
| 2017 | Research Grade 8 <br> Teaching Grade 8 <br> Senior Lecturer <br> Reader <br> Professor |  |  | 75\% <br> 50\% <br> 50\% | 54\% <br> 30\% <br> 50\% | - 3 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 100\% <br> 100\% <br> 50\% | 60\% <br> 38\% <br> 50\% |
| 2016 | Research Grade 8 <br> Teaching Grade 8 <br> Senior Lecturer <br> Reader <br> Professor |  |  | 0\% 50\% | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \% \\ & 20 \% \\ & 60 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \% \\ & 25 \% \\ & 67 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2015 | Research Grade 8 <br> Teaching Grade 8 <br> Senior Lecturer <br> Reader <br> Professor |  |  | 100\% <br> 67\% <br> 0\% | $40 \%$ $50 \%$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2014 | Research Grade 8 <br> Teaching Grade 8 <br> Senior Lecturer <br> Reader <br> Professor |  |  |  | 45\% <br> 25\% <br> 29\% |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & 29 \% \\ & 25 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2013 | Research Grade 8 <br> Teaching Grade 8 <br> Senior Lecturer <br> Reader <br> Professor |  |  | 50\% | 100\% <br> 50\% <br> 14\% |  |  |  | 100\% <br> 67\% <br> 20\% |

*AHSSBL \%F reflects the \% female for all AHSSBL Schools within St Andrews University.

Figure 28 Promotion success: summary by year and gender CHART REDACTED

Figure 29 All promotions (2013-2017) by job category CHART REDACTED

The annual promotions cycle is centrally driven and the methodology was revised after University-wide consultation in 2016-17 [see section 3.2 (1)]. The University invites colleagues to submit applications early in semester 2 . The HoS submits a report to accompany the application and requests comments from professorial colleagues to aid in compiling this. A University Promotions committee, divided by Faculty, reads and scores all applications. Until 2016 the process also included an interview in front of a university panel. This has been scrapped.

The SAT identified the relative lack of female Readers and Professors as a major issue for the School and intervening in the promotions cycle as a first place to drive change. Together with the O\&C working party, the SAT proposed a number of interventions, which were implemented in time for the 2017 promotion round:

Action 5.6 an annual academic promotion workshop open to all staff in grades 5-8 is chaired by the HoS and a professorial colleague of the opposite gender, and timed to coincide with the beginning of the promotion cycle.

Action 5.7 The HoS and the same professorial colleague to offer follow-up individual consultation on applications.

Action 5.8 Once the promotion process is completed, the HoS (or the Deputy Principal of the University) offers feedback to unsuccessful applicants to identify what actions can be taken to support colleagues in advance of subsequent applications.

Career development is a key part of the School's strategy to ensure that more women reach the top levels of the academic scale. The School is committed to ensuring an equitable distribution of teaching and administrative tasks [see 5.6 (v)], and to enabling all staff with combined teaching and research contracts to devote as much time as possible to research. As well as publications and successful teaching, the winning of research grants, both for individual study and for larger projects, and the supervision of PhD students are important University criteria for promotion. The School offers teaching relief for those who are preparing large grant applications (normally over $£ 350,000$ ) and individual support and advice on any external funding questions [see 5.3 (v)]. Each member of staff writing a second book has a mentor tasked with advising them on finding the time and space to advance their next major research project. The Workload working party and comments in the staff E\&DS identified PhD supervision as a major concern [see also 5.6 (v) and related AP].
(iv) Department submissions to the Research Excellence Framework (REF)

Provide data on the staff, by gender, submitted to REF versus those that were eligible. Compare this to the data for the Research Assessment Exercise 2008. Comment on any gender imbalances identified.

Table 31 RAE 2008 summary

| Gender | EligibleEligible <br> but not <br> submitted | Submitted | \% <br> Submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  | $100 \%$ |  |
| Male |  |  | $89 \%$ |

Table 32 REF 2014 Summary

| Gender | EligibleEligible <br> but not <br> submitted | Submitted | \% <br> Submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  | $86 \%$ |  |
| Male |  |  | $85 \%$ |

The process for selection of outputs for REF2014 was led by the DoR (female) and included internal review of outputs by colleagues in related fields, close liaison with the Institutional REF E\&D Committee (chaired by a Vice-Principal), and external, field specific review of any output where the internal scores were below the University determined bar.

In 2008 all eligible women were submitted [Table 31], and in 2014 [Table 32], when the University imposed a higher overall minimum tariff, women had a slightly higher success rate than men. All colleagues discussed the selection of individual outputs and impact case studies with the HoS (male) and DoR (female). The School is satisfied that there was no major gender imbalance in submission of outputs and a calibration exercise in 2016-17 again ensured gender balance of assessors.

In 2014 REF Impact case studies, which rely on a long period of activity, were selected by the same HoS/DoR team. The four submitted were led by male colleagues, in large part because we had only one full-time female professor at that date.

Action 5.9 In the run up to REF2021 the HoS and DoR will continue to ensure gender balance of staff involved in preparation meetings and in evaluation of colleagues' work. The HoS and Dol will encourage female colleagues to develop impact activities with a view to developing REF Impact Case-studies, either for 2021 or thereafter, while also bearing in mind the proportionally lower representation of female grade 9 colleagues.

### 5.3 CAREER DEVELOPMENT: ACADEMIC STAFF

## (i) Training

Describe the training available to staff at all levels in the department. Provide details of uptake by gender and how existing staff are kept up to date with training. How is its effectiveness monitored and developed in response to levels of uptake and evaluation?

Colleagues in the School have access to professional and career development through CAPOD, which offers specific development streams aimed at different groups. The Academic Staff Development Programme (ASDP) includes workshop-based development events, plus larger forums focusing on specific topics (often with external speakers). The ASDP also provides access to online learning resources, such as the Epigeum University and College Teaching programme.

For research staff CAPOD offers a CoRe Skills programme. This includes workshops and Lunchtime Panel Sessions, focusing on different aspects of professional and career development, and each including participation by senior experts.

CAPOD also offers a structured pathway for ECRs, focused on career development and employability: Passport to Research Futures. This combines workshops, online learning, social learning groups, mentoring and access to a Vitae Researcher Development Framework Planner account. Participants can achieve an in-house certificate or a development award from the Institute for Leadership and Management (ILM).

CAPOD coordinates other development programmes open to academic and research staff including the Passport to Management Excellence for aspirant or serving managers in all Schools and Central Units of the Universities, and which again offers an ILM development award.

CAPOD's GRADskills programme, aimed at research students and GTAs, also provides development opportunities which are open to research and academic colleagues (such as Data Analysis). There is in addition an IT Training Service open to all staff which includes all MS Office applications, various Adobe products and other IT and Learning Technology, often at a bespoke level as required (e.g. Moodle).

Since 2013-14 there have been 47 School attendances at ASDP events ( 24 female and 23 male). There have been 29 attendances at CoRe Skills and Passport to Research futures workshops ( 25 female and 4 male), showing that female ECRs are much more active in this area.

CAPOD also offers funding for academic and research staff wanting to undertake training externally, where this is not available internally. Additionally, there is a specific CAPOD fund which can be accessed by the DoR to support professional development and networking events within the School.

In collaboration with Dundee, CAPOD coordinates an ECR Mentoring Scheme, available to academic staff. This is a long-standing scheme which has been cited by Vitae and the ECU as an example of good practice, and is the model for schemes launched by other Universities. Since 2013-14, a total of 10 staff from the school have participated: 6 female ( 4 mentees 2 mentors), 4 male ( 3 mentees, 1 mentor).

Alongside informal mentoring, the Aurora Leadership Programme and Elizabeth Garrett Mentoring Programme for Senior Women are also available to all female staff at grades 7-8 and a coaching service is available to academic and research staff via the University's Coaching Programme which is run as a collaboration with Aberdeen. So far only Heads of School have used the coaching service. Two colleagues have been supported to complete the Aurora programme (2014/15: 1 Reader since promoted to Professor; 2016/17: 1 Senior Lecturer). The second of these, who found it very useful, wrote a blog which helped encourage two others, who are currently participating (1 Lecturer and 1 Reader).

Figure 30 Webpage promoting the Aurora scheme


Staff in the School receive regular updates on internal and external development opportunities from CAPOD. Regular emails for PSS, and newsletters targeted for academic staff and research leaders or for research-only staff, are issued approximately every six weeks. Posters, flyers, leaflets, induction events and a university weekly staff email, 'In the Loop', as well as emails from colleagues are heavily used.

## Evaluation

CAPOD organises evaluation of its training centrally and updates this regularly to ensure that events are as effective and up-to-date as possible and that provision meets a benchmarked standard of overall satisfaction. Since 2013-14 ASDP events show an average $85.23 \%$ satisfaction rate and CoRe Skills/Passport to Research Futures an average $86.45 \%$.

In response to an E\&DS question about training, of 39 staff, $72 \%$ agreed that they had 'opportunities for professional development/training'; 2\% disagreed. Of female respondents, however, only $60 \%$ agreed with the statement while of male respondents $80 \%$ agreed. The SAT therefore looked for alternative training and development opportunities for women.

Action 5.10 to encourage all non-professorial academic women in the School to attend the Aurora or Elisabeth Garrett Leadership Programmes detailed above by 2021.

## (ii) Appraisal/development review

Describe current appraisal/development review schemes for staff at all levels, including postdoctoral researchers and provide data on uptake by gender. Provide details of any appraisal/review training offered and the uptake of this, as well as staff feedback about the process.

Since 2014 the School has operated a 'light touch' appraisal system, with colleagues invited to complete a form outlining their research, funding applications, impact / KE activities, teaching, student feedback, curriculum development and service, both internal and external. This is discussed with an assigned appraiser, often in person, but not necessarily so. Appraisers are from grades 7-9, not automatically senior to the appraisee. Women appear under-represented as appraisers, even in relation to the overall
percentage of female staff in grades 7-9, but the numbers are so small that adding one female appraiser would lead to women being over-worked.
Table 33 Number of appraisers by gender

| Year | Female $\quad$ Male | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2017 |  | 11 |
| 2016 |  | 11 |
| 2015 |  | 11 |

Q. 20 of the Staff E\&DS addressed feedback [Figure 31]. Although we think the wording may have led to some confusion, the low agreement rate amongst both women and men is a matter of concern.

Figure 31 Academic and Postdoc. Staff responses to survey Q. 20


Action 5.11 We will revise the question for the next E\&DS and organise a focus group to devise a new system of feedback from 2018-19. We will continue to ensure that women are always proportionally represented as appraisers in any new scheme.

## (iii) Support given to academic staff for career progression

Comment and reflect on support given to academic staff, especially postdoctoral researchers, to assist in their career progression.

The first port of call for support for career progression is within the School. All academic staff, including postdoctoral researchers, have an allowance to support their research and a fund for library purchases [see section 4.2 (ii)]. The current light-touch appraisal scheme is intended as a support mechanism, as is the second book mentor scheme. We also operate a competitive funding scheme for conferences, allowing colleagues to organise events in St Andrews.

The promotions workshop introduced in 2017 is also intended to support career progression. As research is critical to career development for most academics, we run a sabbatical scheme designed to allow protected time to focus on research or for impact deriving from research. All academic and research staff on open contracts build up eligibility for these sabbaticals and can also apply for externally funded research leave. Table 34 shows that 56 colleagues have taken leave since 2012, with take-up roughly in
line with the proportions of female and male staff on open-ended contracts in the School in these years ( $33 \%-35 \%$ ). Of these, five women and three men have held externally funded leave, suggesting that women may be more pro-active and successful at winning such leave, though the numbers are very small.

Table 34 Research leave taken by gender

| Academic Year | Role | Female |  | Male |  | Total Funded Leave |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | University Leave | Funded Leave | University Leave | Funded Leave |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | Senior Lecturer <br> Reader <br> Professor |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015-16 | Lecturer <br> Senior Lecturer <br> Reader <br> Professor |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014-15 | Lecturer Senior Lecturer Reader Professor |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013-14 | Lecturer Senior Lecturer Reader Professor |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012-13 | Lecturer Senior Lecturer Reader Professor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 14 |  | 34 |  | 8 |

Figure 32 Research \& teaching staff on leave by gender percentage (with base-line marker of current \% F staff)


Outside the School CAPOD offers various courses and a mentoring scheme in collaboration with Dundee, as detailed above, open to postdoctoral colleagues as to all academic staff [see section 5.3 (i)].

Figure 33 Academic and postdoc responses to Survey Q. 19

Q. 19 of the Staff E\&DS addressed careers [Figure 33]. Given the relatively low agreement rate, the SAT has built in several APs relating to career development for post-doctoral researchers and lecturers:

Action 5.12 All staff below grade 9, including post-doctoral researchers, to be offered mentors by HoS on an opt-out, not opt-in basis.

Action 5.13 The School will host an annual workshop on publication strategies and turning PhD theses into books, inviting external input from a publisher.
Action 5.14 A postdoctoral coffee session, without PI present, will be held once a year, including a gender balanced group of staff (e.g. DoR, HoS and two senior colleagues). We will also document where our postdoctoral fellows go after working here.

Action 5.15 The E\&DC will introduce an informal 'Women Historians' event to highlight female achievement in the discipline and discuss the opportunities and constraints faced by women in their careers.
(iv) Support given to students (at any level) for academic career progression

Comment and reflect on support given to students at any level to enable them to make informed decisions about their career (including the transition to a sustainable academic career).

All students have access to a centrally organised Professional Skills programme which aims to help strengthen the skills needed in academic and non-academic careers [see also section 4.1 (ii)].

The School of History initiated a programme of subject-specific academic skills workshops for UGs, delivered by PGR students, and which especially helps students who wish to transition to an academic career. This is now run by CAPOD.

The School also offers paid internships for UGs. These short-term positions, which range from research assistantships to seminar and conference organisation, are advertised to the students and filled on the basis of applications and, where necessary, brief interviews. They are one way to gain experience of what an academic career in History might entail and to encourage applications for further study. Information about gender identity has not been collected in the past.

Additionally, UG students entering their third (Honours) year have the opportunity to apply to the Laidlaw Undergraduate Internship Programme in Research and Leadership to enable them to follow a paid independent research project over two years [Table 35].

Table 35 Laidlaw Applications and success for the School of History


Since 2015-16 the School has also participated in the URAS sponsored by the University [Table 36]. The DoR selects projects proposed by staff, and these are openly advertised to UG students in any year of study. The data set is small, but suggests that women may be more likely to apply, even allowing for the gender balance amongst the UG population as a whole. Success rates have varied considerably, from $90 \%$ for women in 2015-16 to 0\% in 2017-18.

Action 5.16: Continue School funded paid UG internship scheme and collect data about gender take-up to match that supplied for URAS internships. DoR will
monitor success rate to ensure it remains proportional to gender of UG cohort and continue to support applications to Laidlaw scheme.

Table 36 URAS applications and success rates by gender

| Academic <br> Year | Applications |  |  |  |  | Successful |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Total | \% <br> Female | Female | Male | Total | $\%$ <br> Female |  |
| $2016-17$ | 44 | 35 | 79 | $56 \%$ |  |  |  | $90 \%$ |  |
| $2015-16$ | 56 | 32 | 88 | $64 \%$ |  |  |  | $50 \%$ |  |

Each year the School offers a number of MLitt. scholarships to help cover the cost of PGT courses. These are advertised on the School and University websites, and are awarded by the School's gender-balanced PG Committee on the basis of academic record.

Table 37 PGT Scholarships, applications and success rates by gender

| Academic Year | Female |  |  | Male |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applied | Awarded | Success rate | Applied | Awarded | Success rate |
| 2017-18 |  |  | 14\% |  |  | 70\% |
| 2016-17 |  |  | 29\% |  |  | 6\% |
| 2015-16 |  |  | 30\% |  |  | 50\% |
| 2014-15 |  |  | 20\% |  |  | 20\% |
| 2013-14 |  |  | 39\% |  |  | 18\% |
| Total | 80 | 20 | 25\% | 106 | 15 | 14\% |

Table 37 shows that PGT scholarship applicant numbers are small and fluctuate substantially: female from 10 to 21 ; male from 8 to 43 . Success rates also fluctuate very widely: for women from $14 \%$ to $39 \%$; for men from $6 \%$ to $70 \%$.

Action 5.17 Ensure fair distribution of PGT scholarships, and therefore accepted offers, by gender.

PGR funding. In the first semester of MLitt study, programme coordinators encourage students with strong academic records to consider the possibility of PhD research. Potential supervisors and the DoPGR work closely with applicants to formulate research proposals and complete funding bids. Applications for AHRC, ESRC, University, Wolfson and other funding schemes are ranked by a gender-proportionate committee of six drawn from all parts of the School. The School panel includes one 'additional marker' who scores all those applications where a conflict of interest exists with a panelist. The highest-ranked applicants are nominated for funding and are invited to submit draft applications in advance of the submission deadline. Drafts receive feedback from prospective supervisors, the DoPGR, and a gender-balanced University panel.

Table 38 PGR scholarships, application numbers and success rates by gender

| Academic <br> Year | Female |  | Male |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applied | Awarded | Success rate | Applied | Awarded | Success rate |
| $2017-18$ |  | $6 \%$ |  |  | $11 \%$ |  |
| $2016-17$ |  |  | $13 \%$ |  |  | $6 \%$ |
| $2015-16$ |  |  | $13 \%$ |  |  | $15 \%$ |
| $2014-15$ |  | $57 \%$ |  | $20 \%$ |  |  |
| Total | 54 |  | 65 |  |  |  |

Table 38 reveals the great fluctuations in application numbers (from 17 overall to 36 ) and again the substantial variation in relative success rates by gender: females from $6 \%$ to $57 \%$; males from $6 \%$ to $20 \%$.

Action 5.18 To ensure equal distribution of PGR scholarships, and therefore accepted offers, by gender, and to increase the percentage of female PGR applicants converting to entrants to bring it in line with national average (c. 54\%)

CAPOD's GRADskills Programme provides extensive support for PGR students in the development of transferable skills to improve career prospects. There are $>50$ face-to-face workshops and online courses, and students can apply for funding to attend external courses, and to develop their own projects (thereby practicing grant-writing skills). GRADskills includes a workshop strand specifically to support PGRs making the transition to employment (within and outside academia), including workshops on getting published, public engagement, CV writing, interview skills, applying for postdocs, funding applications, etc. Several of these are delivered by the Careers Centre PG support advisor. Since 2013-14 there have been 133 attendances on GRADskills workshops ( 75 female and 58 male).

At School level, we recognize the importance to PGRs of producing high-quality research and publications, as also of research/conference travel, presenting papers, acquiring teaching experience, and organising (and funding) workshops and conferences. Alongside GRADskills, School staff therefore offer skills sessions for historians. All PGRs are encouraged to attend but take up is uneven (4-20, ave. 10-12) in part because workshops appeal at different stages, such as sessions on first year reviews or on vivas. During the first three years (or part-time equivalent) PGRs receive an annual $£ 400$ allowance and can apply for up to $£ 325$ more for conference or research travel. They can also apply for School funds for bursaries for language courses abroad and to organise workshops and conferences. Applications are assessed by the School PG Committee.

PGRs are encouraged to consider working as GTAs, teaching tutorials of 6/7 UGs in years one or two. The modules that require tutors are advertised in May. All eligible PGRs (year 2 and above) are invited to attend an open session describing the duties, hours and payment involved and to complete a form indicating which modules they would wish to teach. The DoT and Degree Committee Chairs assess the applicants' suitability, in discussion with supervisors and bearing in mind the impact on the PhD. In general, those
who wish to tutor and who have time and relevant knowledge are accepted. We also employ recently qualified PGR students as GTAs, offering some continuity at a critical moment in the career. GTAs are required to complete the Diversity in the Workplace training module and to attend a university Tutoring and Assessment workshop provided by CAPOD: since 2013/14 there have been 176 attendances ( 70 female and 106 male).

In general, the gender distribution amongst GTAs [Table 23] is approximately equal and fluctuates in line with the gender balance within the School's PGR community, though in 2016-17 there was an unusually low female percentage (32\%).

Action 5.19. The DoT will ensure that allocation of teaching opportunities is congruent with the gender distribution of those who apply. Should the underrepresented group fail to apply, the DoT will liaise with supervisors to encourage more applicants, drawing PGRs' attention to the career benefits of acquiring teaching experience. We will also introduce an anonymous exit questionnaire to acquire better information on their experience of teaching.

## (v) Support offered to those applying for research grants

Comment and reflect on support given to staff who apply for funding and what support is offered to those who are unsuccessful.

Discipline-specific advice is available for all potential grant applicants from the School's DoR. The DoR runs an annual discussion lunch about grant possibilities, open to all academic staff (including fixed-term and postdoctoral fellows). They also offer one-on-one support on request, ranging from informal conversations about possible projects, to detailed feedback on draft applications, and mock interviews where appropriate. The workload model also makes provision for those writing large grants, whether or not they are successful.

At University level the Research Business Development and Contracts (RBDC) team provides help and support to staff applying (or wishing to apply) for funding. This includes regular visits to the School, one-to-one advice, access to the Research Professionals database, and notification to staff of new funding calls. RBDC also collaborates with CAPOD to offer general training in research funding and writing successful grant applications. The Finance department works with CAPOD to offer training in managing research funding and Full Economic Costing.

The success of colleagues in winning funding, with 63 awards since 2012/13 and a female success rate of $49 \%$, male of $39 \%$, suggests these processes are working effectively, particularly with female colleagues. As grant winning is valued in promotion applications, this will feed into the promotion process.

### 5.5 FLEXIBLE WORKING AND MANAGING CAREER BREAKS

Note: Present professional and support staff and academic staff data separately

There have been no career breaks for colleagues since 2012 other than those related to maternity or paternity leave and no PSS staff have taken breaks at all.
(i) Cover and support for maternity and adoption leave: before leave

Explain what support the department offers to staff before they go on maternity and adoption leave.

The School follows University policies with regard to maternity and adoption leave, with information on these policies made available to all staff via HR and the University website. ${ }^{3}$ In each case the staff member discusses their needs with the HoS and with an HR representative. The HoS then applies for cover from the University.
Q. 26 of the Staff E\&DS addressed career breaks [Figure 34].

Figure 34 Q26 survey responses (all staff) by gender


Two members of the O\&C working party reported in greater detail to the SAT on their own experiences of taking maternity leave. Both reported that the HoS and HR were supportive and flexible, but experienced a lack of coherent and readily accessible information regarding procedures and entitlements. In particular, there was uncertainty regarding the start dates and additional costs for research grants already won. Being able to share experience was deemed highly desirable.

Action 5.20: As well as the joint meeting with HR, the HoS will have a separate formal consultation with the member of staff to identify goals and concerns for the leave period and return to work.

Action 5.21 All staff to be notified at the beginning of the year and kept informed by HoS about University-wide carers, parents and disability support networks. ${ }^{4}$ We will also include anonymous case studies on the staff E\&D webpage.

[^2](ii) Cover and support for maternity and adoption leave: during leave

Explain what support the department offers to staff during maternity and adoption leave. Staff on maternity and adoption leave continue to have the standard research and book purchase allowances and are able to use their paid 'Keeping in Touch' (KIT) days (up to ten) for research or for activities such as meeting with PhD students if they wish. The School counts all maternity and adoption leave, paid and unpaid, towards eligibility for sabbatical research leave. Since 2012-13 only one member of staff has used KIT days (a colleague on maternity leave, who told the SAT she only realised this was possible on her second maternity).

Action 5.22 HoS to ensure staff awareness of the University's mechanisms for support including paid KIT days, and of School support during leave and return to work, also via an updated webpage.
(iii) Cover and support for maternity and adoption leave: returning to work

Explain what support the department offers to staff on return from maternity or adoption leave. Comment on any funding provided to support returning staff.
Towards the end of leave, the staff member has meeting(s) with the HoS via phone/email to ensure suitable arrangements are in place for returning to work, including discussion of workload. Requests for flexible working are considered at this time and steps taken to accommodate individual requirements. Childcare for nursery-age children is made more manageable by the fact that academic staff are free to arrange their own teaching schedules, apart from c. 5-10 UG lectures per year. Comments in the Staff E\&DS included: 'being allowed to schedule our own teaching sessions is absolutely crucial' (Q.8).

Salary sacrifice can be used to pay (pre-tax) for childcare vouchers. In late 2018 this system will be replaced by the Government's tax-free childcare scheme. Since 2012-13 between seven and eleven members of staff (academic and PSS) per year have made use of the University's childcare voucher scheme.

Childcare issues for school-age children are made more complicated by the fact that University vacations do not overlap with local school holidays. This point was raised several times in the comments sections of the Staff E\&DS.

Action 5.23 Since the University has decided not to change vacations to align with School holidays, coordinators of Sub-honours modules will be asked to switch the sequence of lecturing during school vacations whenever possible, so that no individual is disproportionately affected.

## (iv) Maternity return rate

Provide data and comment on the maternity return rate in the department. Data of staff whose contracts are not renewed while on maternity leave should be included in the section along with commentary.
Since 2012-13 five academic, research and teaching staff and no PSS have taken maternity leave. $100 \%$ returned to work and all are in post after more than twenty-four months [Table 39].

## (v) Paternity, shared parental, adoption, and parental leave uptake

Provide data and comment on the uptake of these types of leave by gender and grade. Comment on what the department does to promote and encourage take-up of paternity leave and shared parental leave.

Since 2013 ten members of staff have taken maternity or paternity leave (REDACTED). Information about leave schemes is provided in staff induction packs and is available on line. There has been a $100 \%$ return rate amongst these staff.

Table 39 Maternity and Paternity Leave rates REDACTED

Action 5.24 To ensure all members of staff, including those entering on fixed-term contracts, are aware of their entitlements regarding maternity and paternity leave, this information has been included on the School's E\&D webpage and will be verbally drawn to the attention of new members of staff during induction.

## (vi) Flexible working

Provide information on the flexible working arrangements available.
The School follows University policies on flexible working, which are made available to all staff via HR and on the University website. ${ }^{5}$ Academic staff make extensive use of informal flexible working arrangements, including working from home and all staff are committed to the core hours for meetings policy.

Since 2012-13 we have had one formal request for flexible working (which was granted), from a postdoctoral researcher who has since left. A second agreed a flexible working contract in 2009 to allow for childcare which is still valid.
'...found it extremely helpful and supportive... as an ease of mind whenever I have the children after school - which during term-time is...pretty much Monday to Friday.... Overall, my experience has been ... a very positive one - though I am not sure to what extent members of staff with parental duties are aware of the option'.

This approach benefits those with children but should also help those who have other caring responsibilities, or disabilities, etc. [See AP 5.25]
Q. 8 of the Staff E\&DS addressed teaching patterns [Figures 35/36].

[^3]Figure 35 Q8 Academic and postdoctoral survey responses


Figure 36 Q24 Survey responses by job grouping


PSS work to fixed office-open hours. Some flexibility is available via part-time contracts which cover term-time only, allowing for parental responsibilities during vacations, a format one colleague has used since 2013/14. Informal flexible working is also managed by part-time staff who schedule extra hours as needed at busy periods in the semester, compensated by being able to work reduced hours during University holidays. All staff can also take three carer days each year (but data on take-up has not so far been collected).
Table 40 PSS staff, full- \& part-time REDACTED

Table 40 shows that PSS staff are overwhelmingly female but that both those occupying the highest grade are male. Across the faculty, women hold $56 \%$ of the PSS posts at Grade 6 and above so History is out of line. The very small total number, however, and very slow turnover of staff (one leaver and one new colleague in a decade) makes it difficult to assess the effect of recruitment or promotion opportunities and staff report satisfaction with gender equality [see Figure 37].

In general, the E\&DS responses show flexible working arrangements, both formal and informal, are deemed effective.

Action 5.25 We will ensure that all staff know of their entitlement to apply for formal flexible working via the induction process and the School's E\&D webpage. Data will be stored on take-up of carer days.

## (vii) Transition from part-time back to full-time work after career breaks

Outline what policy and practice exists to support and enable staff who work parttime after a career break to transition back to full-time roles.

The School again follows all University HR policies in regard to returning to full-time work after career breaks and has details of these linked to its E\&D webpages. Since 2013 one PSS colleague has moved to full-time work from part-time, but this was not following a career break. No other staff have changed from part-time to full-time work.

### 5.6 ORGANISATION AND CULTURE

## (i) Culture

Demonstrate how the department actively considers gender equality and inclusivity. Provide details of how the Athena SWAN Charter principles have been, and will continue to be, embedded into the culture and workings of the department.

The School is strongly committed to nurturing the talents of all staff and students through fair practices designed to facilitate career progression, and to advancing gender equality through sustainable structural and cultural change. Much of the data presented in this application has been recorded for years, but had not been thoroughly examined across the School. The AS process has provided a crucial stimulus for making this data widely available to staff, and has prompted constructive discussions drawing upon it in working parties, in the SAT, and in School meetings.

Our E\&DSs have been immensely useful for assessing the culture of the School. The response rate for the Staff E\&DS was high but amongst students was low [see section 3 (ii) and AP 3.1].

The Staff E\&DS showed that 92\% of colleagues feel that they work in a 'collegial and supportive environment'. Responses to questions about gender equality within the School were somewhat less optimistic however (with the exception of PSS, whose responses were uniformly positive). Q. 28 addressed perceptions [Figures 37/38]:

Figure 37 Q. 28 Responses by job grouping


Figure 38 Q. 28 responses (all staff) by gender


These less positive perceptions were reflected at student level too. Although the response rates were too low to be of statistical use, the comments section of the surveys noted the lack of female professors, and the fact that there 'seem to be more men in the higher positions'.

UG, PGT and PGR students did, however, also comment that they had found plenty of strong, positive role models - both female and male - within the School.

The small number of female readers and professors is a key concern. Several of the APs described above (AP 5.6, 5.7, 5.8, 5.10, 5.12, 5.13, 5.15) are intended to ensure that we improve the balance at all levels over the next 4 years, especially at the level of reader / professor. There has not been a female HoS, though all other senior roles in the School have been occupied by both women and men [see section 5.6 (iii) below]. Comments on the Staff E\&DS suggested that administrative jobs within the School need to be allocated more transparently.

- Action 5.26 We will agree criteria for administrative posts and the HoS will then consult with the current post holders, with the Executive and with DCM chairs before making new appointments, using gender balance in proportion to overall staff numbers as an explicit criterion.

To promote equality and inclusivity within an open working and learning environment, information on staff and PGR publications, prizes and research awards, major lectures and outreach activities is disseminated on a voluntary basis via a fortnightly 'School of History Gazette' and via a 'Monthly Round Up' on the School blog.

Gender history is introduced to all students during their first two years via the survey modules, but the School agreed that gender requires greater signposting at this level.

Action 5.27 We will highlight to students the gender-related content of first and second year survey courses in course handbooks and in lectures. We will also make diversity in the curriculum a required consideration in all new module proposals, taking into account the HEA 'Embedding E\&D in the Curriculum' principles.

Action 5.28 The reading lists for first and second year survey courses have, from 2017-18, included the first names of all authors, in order to draw students' attention to the contributions made by female historians. We will extend this to Honours and PGT.

Action 5.29 The information about staff activities included in the Gazette and Monthly round up will be taken from PURE (the University's research database), to reduce the need for duplication of effort and to encourage staff engagement.
Many third- and fourth-year UG modules attest to the extent to which considerations of gender and inclusivity are embedded within the School. There are specialized modules, for example, on women's and gender history, the history of religious persecution, social exclusion, ethnicity and identity, slavery, and colonial and post-colonial history. The wide range of teaching expertise amongst members of staff also means that numerous PhD theses and MLitt and UG dissertations are written on gender and diversity related topics.

Action 5.30 When planning delivery of third and four-year UG modules, the DoT and ASO to ensure that a selection of modules and topics related to gender and diversity is available to students each year. Planning will also start to track take-up by gender and inform the SAT each semester.

## (ii) HR policies

Describe how the department monitors the consistency in application of HR policies for equality, dignity at work, bullying, harassment, grievance and disciplinary processes. Describe actions taken to address any identified differences between policy and practice. Comment on how the department ensures staff with management responsibilities are kept informed and updated on HR polices.

The School follows University guidelines on bullying, harassment and discrimination. ${ }^{6}$ The School E\&D Officer is now the first port of call. If a complaint cannot be resolved informally at School level, the University's E\&D Officer invokes formal procedures. Information on these procedures is made available to all staff, in particular those with management responsibilities, via HR.

Action 5.31 All HR policies on bullying, harassment and discrimination, including the University's new 'Dignity at Work' document, are linked to the School's own E\&D webpage.

Action 5.32 E\&DC to monitor data for consistency in application of equality, bullying, harassment and discrimination policies.

Evidence from the E\&DSs and from the HoS suggests that formal procedures are very rarely, if ever, invoked. $75 \%$ of staff agreed that they would feel able to challenge discrimination based on gender within the School if they encountered it; $10 \%$ disagreed. Comments indicated that members of staff would feel able to bring cases of 'outright and explicit' discrimination to the attention of the HoS, but that more 'subtle forms of discrimination', such as informal comments made during meetings, might be harder to deal with.

Action 5.33 All Staff to complete online training modules on diversity and unconscious bias. Monitored by SAT.

[^4]Amongst the small sample of students who responded to the survey, there was less clarity regarding procedures, either formal or informal, for dealing with all forms of discrimination, though most of those who responded felt that support would in theory be available. PGRs expressed particular concern about discriminatory comments between students.

Action 5.34 From the start of 2017-18, all students are invited to complete an online training module on diversity and unconscious bias during initial lectures and tutorials and via an email from the Chair of the E\&DC.

Action 5.35 The Chair of the E\&DC is the first port-of-call for informal concerns about discrimination. The Chair is introduced to PGRs, PGTs and UGs during induction sessions at the start of each year.

Figure 39 PhD Induction day 2017

(iii) Representation of men and women on committees

Provide data for all department committees broken down by gender and staff type. Identify the most influential committees. Explain how potential committee members are identified and comment on any consideration given to gender equality in the selection of representatives and what the department is doing to address any gender imbalances. Comment on how the issue of 'committee overload' is addressed where there are small numbers of women or men.

Table 41 Staff membership of key committees (plus Directors of Centres \& Institutes) REDACTED

There are three key committees, of which the Executive is the most influential [see Section 2/Figure 4]. Appointments to all three are normally determined on the basis of administrative roles (e.g. all Chairs of degree committees sit on the Teaching \& Curriculum Committee; Convenors of PGT courses sit on the PG Committee). These roles will be allocated by the HoS, after consultation [see AP 5.26]. The Chairs of Degree committees attend the Executive once a semester. The Teaching Committee is of particular concern to the SAT, as it has oversight of the School's curriculum and timetable. In recent years the gender balance on most of these committees has improved, and the slightly disproportionate burden on women of the Teaching Committee has been off-set by membership of the PG committee.

Action 5.36 Ensure the E\&DC chair is invited to attend the Executive once a semester and that E\&D is a standing item. HoS to seek gender balance of core committees wherever possible, and as a matter of School policy.
Action 5.37 Ensure that one SAT member, who is fully briefed on the School's E\&D agenda, always sits on the Teaching Committee.

## (iv) Participation on influential external committees

How are staff encouraged to participate in other influential external committees and what procedures are in place to encourage women (or men if they are underrepresented) to participate in these committees?
Staff either serve on University committees by virtue of their office (DoT, DoR), or are nominated by the Principal's Office (Promotions Panel, ProDeans etc) in consultation with the HoS. They may also be elected by the Faculty (e.g. to the University's governing body). Beyond the University, the School has no ability to oversee the opportunities to serve on the committees of learned societies, the editorial boards of journals, funding allocation boards or on other similar bodies.

- Action 5.38 Ensure that in the new appraisal/feedback process mentors and appraisers discuss the value of external appointments in terms of career progression, particularly with female colleagues.


## (v) Workload model

Describe any workload allocation model in place and what it includes. Comment on ways in which the model is monitored for gender bias and whether it is taken into account at appraisal/development review and in promotion criteria. Comment on the rotation of responsibilities and if staff consider the model to be transparent and fair.
The School has a workload model based on notional hours that takes into account UG and PGT teaching loads, supervision of PGRs and Postdocs, internal examining of PhDs, major funding applications and administrative responsibilities. There is an early career allowance for new members of staff during their first three years in post, and this is also given to fixed-term teaching staff. The SAT Chair and the Chair of the E\&DC, who from 2017-18 has responsibility for several APs, each receive 30 hours in the School's workload model. This is equivalent to the allocation for chairing a medium-sized degree committee.

The model is available on the staff intranet, and is administered and closely monitored each year by the DoT and HoS, though not previously for gender bias. To ensure transparency, a draft completed spreadsheet showing the notional hours of each member of staff is circulated to all colleagues at the start of each semester and colleagues are able to update and correct any errors of fact before a final version is made available.
Major administrative roles such as HoS, DoR, DoT, DoPG and Degree Committee Chairs rotate approximately every three years [see AP 5.26].
Question 15 in the staff survey asked about workloads [Figures 40/41]. All those who felt these are unfairly distributed are academic staff.

Figure 40 Q15 Survey responses (all staff) by gender


Figure 41 Q15 survey responses (all staff) by job grouping


In the comments section of the survey, several academic colleagues identified the weighting given to PhD supervision as a particular reason for dissatisfaction with workload distribution. Workload weighting has therefore been adjusted in order to encourage cosupervision and thus broaden participation in PGR supervision across the School [see Section 3 (i)].

Action 5.39 The effect of adjustments to the weighting of PGR supervision will be monitored by the number of co-supervised PGRs being recorded on a yearly basis.
Action 5.40 From 2018-19 the DoT will store data about workloads on a gender basis and provide this information to the SAT. Any significant trends in the data gathered will be used to shape policy on distribution of teaching and administrative duties.

## (vi) Timing of departmental meetings and social gatherings

Describe the consideration given to those with caring responsibilities and part-time staff around the timing of departmental meetings and social gatherings.
There is an induction lunch at the start of the year and an Examiners' Dinner in June, but School meetings have not previously been scheduled to take into account colleagues with caring responsibilities or working on part-time contracts. The SAT therefore consulted with the University's AS team, and agreed two action points:

Action 5.41 All School business meetings to be scheduled in core hours, between 9.30 and 4.00. This includes the Executive, SSC, Teaching Committee, Postgraduate Committee, the Degree Committees, SAT and E\&DC.

Action 5.42 Colleagues will be expected to manage other, non-core meetings and social events in a thoughtful manner that facilitates full participation, using doodle
polls (or equivalent) to identify mutually agreeable slots. We will also introduce colleagues to the University's online 'Event \& Meeting Inclusion Guide' and make use of the University's centrally funded onsite crèche child care facility where required for events and conferences.
Q. 25 in the Staff E\&DS also asked about the culture of School meetings [Figures 42/43]. Several comments suggested that staff found it difficult to speak out in SSC.

Figure 42 Q25 survey responses (all staff) by gender


Figure 43 Q25 survey responses (all staff) by job grouping


On further investigation of the E\&DS results, we found that eight of eight female lecturers/associate lecturers agreed that meetings are welcoming but only four of nine male lecturers/associate lecturers.

Action 5.43 The Executive to include a brief description of key items for discussion on the SSC agenda circulated in advance, in order to give colleagues time to prepare interventions.

Most research seminars and public lectures are scheduled at 5.15pm. Q. 9 of the Staff E\&DS asked colleagues about this [Figure 44]:

Figure 44 Q9.Survey responses (all staff)


The timing of research seminars was discussed by the SAT and SSC. Moving them all to within core hours is not practical because of the impact that this would have upon colleagues' ability to schedule their teaching in a flexible manner and because the University space policy prioritises teaching.

Action 5.44 From 2017-18 seminar coordinators have been asked to experiment with occasional lunch-time meetings, especially for internal speakers.

Action 5.45 Seminar papers that take place outside core hours to be recorded when speaker agrees and uploaded to the web for those unable to attend.

In order to facilitate engagement with discussion in School meetings we agreed that it would help if colleagues working in different buildings got to know each other better. This should also help grow a more inclusive culture. In January 2017 we introduced a School coffee morning on Tuesdays at 11, alternating between our two main buildings. Clashes with teaching meant take-up was not high, so we have rethought this:

Action 5.46 Each week during teaching, unless a School 'Teaching', 'Research' or 'E\&DS' lunch is scheduled, there will be an informal 'bag lunch' at 1 pm on a Wednesday, to which all staff, including PSS are invited. A room will be booked for this purpose, alternating between School buildings, with coffee and tea supplied and reminders sent out.

## (vii) Visibility of role models

Describe how the institution builds gender equality into organisation of events. Comment on the gender balance of speakers and chairpersons in seminars, workshops and other relevant activities. Comment on publicity materials, including the department's website and images used.

Question 30 of the Staff E\&DS asked about role models [Figure 45]:

Figure 45 Q. 30 Survey responses (all staff) by gender


The numbers disagreeing or neutral seem to relate to the lack of female Readers and Professors. Our action points to increase the number of women on higher grades via promotion or recruitment are intended to improve this position. See APs 5.6, 5.7, 5.8, 5.10, 5.12, 5.13, 5.15.

The School runs eight seminar series, six organised by staff and two by PGRs. In 2015-16 the SAT gathered statistics on the gender balance within the staff-organised series and made that data available to colleagues for discussion [Table 42]. Proportions of female speakers fluctuate, and also vary between the different sub-disciplines. Given the large number of seminars across the year, all colleagues are involved in chairing meetings if they wish.

Action 5.47 As a result of discussions at SSC, the School has committed to maintaining a minimum of $40 \%$ female speakers across the eight series. SAT to keep records.

Table 42 Research seminar speakers by gender (data collected since 2014)

| Seminar series | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7} \mathbf{- 1 8}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6} \mathbf{- 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | $\mathbf{M}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Medieval | 14 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 13 | 10 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Early Modern | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Modern | 6 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Scottish | 7 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Eastern | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intellectual | 10 | 11 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Postgraduate medieval | 8 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Postgraduate modern | 4 | 8 | - | 9 | 1 | 5 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 53 | 65 | 52 | 64 | 36 | 67 | 34 | 67 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage female | $45 \%$ | $45 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $35 \%$ | $33 \%$ |

In 2016-17 the School introduced an annual lecture on the History of Women, Gender and Sexuality. The first took place on International Women's Day (8 March 2017) and was given by Professor Sasha Roseneil of the University of Essex. It was widely advertised, and was well attended by staff and students.

Figure 46 Poster advertising 2017 workshop


Action 5.48 The School has set aside a budget of $£ 750$ per year to continue the History of Women, Gender and Sexuality lecture series, which will be organised by the E\&DC.

In 2017 the Student History Society organised a workshop for Women's History Month, at which the dHoS and E\&DC chair both spoke. The Society is committed to continuing this tradition.

Action 5.49 SSC, SAT and E\&DC to encourage academic staff to provide the support the Society needs.

We are currently awaiting a redesign and upgrade of our website, to be undertaken by the University IT team. The SAT and E\&DC will have extensive input regarding the images and texts chosen to represent the School. In the meantime, we have added an E\&D webpage to our current website, which promotes and explains our approach to AS, and provides reliable online links to the University:

- Good Gender Equality Practice in Employment
- Family Friendly Flowchart (linking to HR policies)
- Guidelines for scheduling meetings
- Carers, Childcare and School Holidays information
- Health and Wellbeing at Work initiatives
- Aurora Leadership Programme for women in higher education
- University Equality Policy (staff and students)

Figure 47 School of History webpage showing E\&D section


## (viii) Outreach activities

Provide data on the staff and students from the department involved in outreach and engagement activities by gender and grade. How is staff and student contribution to outreach and engagement activities formally recognised? Comment on the participant uptake of these activities by gender.

The activities of colleagues and students in outreach have not previously been recorded. Apart from the SAO, who receives 75 virtual hours in the School workload model, student recruitment is dealt with through the School on Visiting Days. Staff speaking at these are rewarded by an allocation of 10 virtual hours per semester. Other forms of outreach include Staff delivering talks at schools or collaborating with historical exhibitions, much of which is related to Research Institutes and Centres or particular grant-holders.

Whilst the School hasn't previously stored data on the grade or gender profile of staff contributing to these events, our REF2014 submission shows that large numbers of the public were involved in staff outreach activities.

Action 5.50 We will accurately record, via PURE and our Communications team, all staff participation in outreach events by gender and grade, to assist with planning and ensure that the School is presenting a balanced outward facing image.

## 7. FURTHER INFORMATION

Bronze: 500 words. Actual: 164 words.
Please comment here on any other elements that are relevant to the application.
This submission includes the latest available data sets in order for the School as a whole to self-evaluate our progress on gender equality utilising the AS framework. There may be variations in data time frames which result from differences in calendar and academic years and the availability of comparator data. Variations include:

- All staff data is provided in headcount, whilst student data is by FTE.
- Recruitment data captures applicants by the year the applied and states their progression stage to the end of the given year. For this reason the number of offers made will not precisely match the number of New Starts reported here.
- UK percentage figures are sourced using data provided by HESA referring to the (139) cost centre up to 2015/16.
- Due to a central change in data capture for Athena SWAN, 2017 Staff data is only available as at 1 December 2017, resulting in a reflection of 11 months for cumulative figures such as New Starts, Recruitment, Leavers, Maternity/Paternity leave.


## School of History Action Plan

This plan includes actions already implemented, actions agreed and planned, and areas where further data collection is required. It will form the agenda for meetings of the School E\&DC and SAT. Rationales are explained in the main submission above.

Responsibility is usually assigned to a role holder such as the HoS, Chair of the SAT or E\&DC, or the SOC. Most of the individuals responsible will change over the four-year implementation period. The reference numbers link to the relevant numbered points of the application form. Items are presented in the same order.

| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | To have usable feedback from our students on E\&D issues and use it in developing our E\&D practices. | Initial student E\&DS issued 2/2017. <br> Very low response rate. <br> Useful written comments. | Written comments used to inform this application. <br> Meetings with student UG, PGT and PGR representatives to agree ways to address low response rate. | We will trial a revised student E\&DS 05/2018 issued through School and Student History Society mailing list and advertised during social events and lectures. Use responses to inform our E\&D actions and measure their effect. Repeat biennially | May 18 | May 2020 <br> and <br> biennial <br> there <br> after | SAT (Chair with UG, PGT and PGR reps) | Increased student response to E\&DS. <br> (> 35\% of all student groups (UG, PGT, PGT). <br> Student perceptions recorded in SAT minutes and used in revising APs. |


| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.2 | To have a strong E\&D policy and up-to-date AS Action Plan in the School, informed by excellent data on all aspects of what we do. | SAT \& E\&DC established and regular meetings introduced. | Informed action points in this application. | SAT and E\&DC meetings to be embedded in School Schedule of Leading Dates each summer, ready for the beginning of each academic year. | Jun 18 | ongoing | SOC and chairs of SAT and E\&DC | SAT \& E\&DC meetings monitor actions in this plan, update them and produce minutes which are uploaded to the School intranet. |
| 4.1 | Ensure <br> Admissions process is gender neutral and work to balance conversion of acceptances to entrances so that it does not favour either gender <br> (See also 4.2) | Data on UG <br> Applications, Offers, <br> Acceptances and Entrances obtained from University Planning and Statistics team. <br> Discussed by SAT in conjunction with School Admissions | Informed action points agreed for this application. | Discussion of detailed figures on UG recruitment and identifiable trends supplied by Planning and Stats team to be a standing item for SAT. <br> Data to be used to advise School Admissions Officer. | End of Admissions cycle | yearly | SAO (working with University Admissions Team) <br> SAT chair <br> E\&DC chair | Minutes of SAT meetings show annual receipt of data and its use to revise and agree new actions as needed. <br> E\&DC minutes show use of this data to inform discussion and that SAO is using the |


|  |  | Officer, who is now ex-officio member of School E\&DC and SAT. |  |  |  |  |  | results if new actions agreed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 4.2 | Ensure Admissions process is gender neutral and balance conversion of acceptances to entrances so that it does not favour either gender. | Discussion as above under 4.1 led to us realising that in the past three years Visiting Days have been led by male colleagues, with no female role models present. | Informed action points agreed for this application. | We will trial male and female colleagues giving visiting day talks together, or the gender not presenting to students being available to chat informally to students and parents. | Next <br> Visiting <br> Day after <br> (May <br> 2018) | Every <br> Visiting <br> Day until <br> further <br> notice | HoS and SAT Chair | Visiting Days always involve both male and female staff. <br> Balanced conversion rates from acceptance to entrant for both male and female applicants by 2021. |
| 4.3 | Ensure <br> Admissions process is gender neutral and balance conversion of | Discussion as above under 4.1. | Informed action points agreed for this application. | We will liaise with other Schools in the Faculty as they work towards their Athena Swan accreditation in | 04/2018 |  | SAT chair and SAO | SAT has minuted better information on Honours recruitment trends in other Schools, informing new APs |


|  | acceptances to entrances so that it does not favour either gender. |  |  | order to gain a better understanding of what drives our Honours recruitment. |  |  |  | for History (as needed) once we have sufficient data. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 4.4 | Ensure that the assessment methods we use do not discriminate by gender, so that male-female UG degree results are balanced in proportion to cohort size by gender. | In view of the realisation that a greater percentage of male UG students attain a First, while more women attain an Upper Second Class degree, in October 2017 we started a datamining exercise to establish whether there is any gender correlation by | Informed action points agreed for this application. | We will use the results of the datamining exercise, due after completion of an academic cycle (June 2018), to work out benchmarks for achievement by gender in the different assessment categories (presentation, essay, exam, etc) and the impact (if any) of the gender of tutor. We will adjust assessment methods | Jun 18 | Yearly until further notice | SAT; DoT, School IT officer | School has reliable data on gender and assessment, which is discussed (and minuted) by SAT and the Teaching and Curriculum Committee; taking data into account, School adjusts assessment practices so as to modify any trends identifiable in the data. Within 4 years, results are more |


|  |  | tutor or types of assessment. |  | in line with new benchmarks. |  |  |  | proportionate to the female and male students in each yearly cohort (e.g. for 2017-18 entrants, 53\% female Single Honours History, 47\% male) when compared to the trend up to 2018 (i.e. less than 5\% difference). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefra (start/e | ate) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 4.5 | Ensure that the skills we expect students to use do not discriminate by gender, so that male-female UG results are balanced in proportion to | Introduced an 'Honours Skills' module in semester one of third year, designed to build self-confidence for class participation and the types of | Honours Skills module now part of annual teaching programme. <br> Attendance ranged from 5 to 153 students in 2017-18. | We will acquire student feedback on the Honours Skills module, continue to monitor degree results by gender (see 4.4), and modify the module as needed for semester 1 2018-19. | Sept 18 | Datamining yearly until further notice. | SAT, working with School IT officer, University IT services, School Teaching and Curriculum Committee <br> ASO and DoT | Student uptake of Honours Skills Module sustained across course. <br> School acquires data on effectiveness of Honours Skills Module and keeps |


|  | cohort size by gender. | writing required in years 3 \& 4 of the degree. |  |  |  |  |  | updating delivery each year. <br> Within 4 years, final degree outcomes are balanced, proportionate to the female and male students in each yearly cohort (e.g. for 2017-18 entrants, 53\% female Single Honours History, 47\% male)(less than 5\% difference). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/en | ate) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 4.6 | Achieve gender balance in PGT cohort and ensure admissions process | Assessed data supplied by Planning and Statistics Office. Identified relatively low | Discussions informed this AP (and also 4.7. 4.8) | Ensure presentations and both text and images in School recruitment materials are always | Spring 2018 <br> prospect -us | Ongoing | DoPGR <br> DoPGT | Recruitment materials are gender balanced and include information on |


| addresses <br> gender equality, <br> thereby <br> improving <br> pipeline. | female PGT <br> numbers. <br> Discussion of <br> reasons for <br> acceptance of a <br> place with <br> current PGT <br> students. <br> Suggestion that <br> acceptance may <br> be affected by <br> lack of awareness <br> of University <br> provision on <br> maternity/patern <br> -ity leave, <br> childcare and our <br> openness to <br> taking part-time <br> students. |  | diverse and gender- <br> balanced and that <br> our PGT webpages <br> include information <br> on University <br> provision for <br> maternity/paternity <br> leave, childcare and <br> our openness to <br> taking part time <br> students. |  | University E\&D <br> provision. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April <br> 2018 | Impact April <br> 2018 | Further action <br> planned | Timeframe <br> (start/end date) | Responsibility |  |
| 4.7 | Cnsure <br> and outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| admissions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| process |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | addresses gender equality at PGT level. | Planning and Statistics Office which identified low female PGT numbers. <br> Discussion of reasons for acceptance of a place with current PGT cohort. |  | with the University's Admissions Office to provide on-line discussions with prospective female students. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { spring } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |  | Directors of PGT courses | discussions with female applicants. <br> PGT female numbers align (within 5\%) with size of UG Single Honours History cohort (e.g. c. 55\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/end | date) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 4.8 | Ensure <br> admissions <br> process <br> addresses <br> gender equality <br> at PGT level so <br> as to increase <br> female entrants <br> as percentage of offers made. | As above, we discussed data supplied by Planning and Statistics Office which identified low female PGT numbers. <br> Discussion of reasons for acceptance of a | Informed this AP (and also 4.5, 4.6) | PGT Programme Coordinators to initiate email correspondence with female prospective students directly in the wake of offers. All those who reject the offer to be asked about the reasons. | Ongoing | Ongoing | PGT Programme Coordinators. <br> PSS <br> Administrators <br> of PGT <br> programmes | Increase in take-up of PGT places by female students to align with UG single honours cohort (e.g. c. 55\% in 2018). <br> School has better information on reasons for student choices and uses |


|  |  | place with <br> current PG <br> students <br> identified contact with the School as a key factor. |  |  |  |  |  | this to adapt and inform advertising, with the effect that we average more female PGTs overall (> 45\% of cohort). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/end | date) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 4.9 | Ensure PGT completion rates of male and female as proportion of cohort are balanced. | Reviewed data supplied by <br> Planning and <br> Statistics Office <br> which suggests <br> that small <br> numbers fail to complete (though all female). The SAT, in discussion with the DoPGT, agreed that nonetheless we need to establish the reasons for | Informed this AP | We will follow up with all PGT students who do not complete, to find out why and also monitor the effect of the change to the banding of assessment. | Ongoing | Ongoing | DoPGT <br> Directors of PGT programmes | We will have data on why students do not complete and on the effects of changes to the banding of assessment minuted by the PG committee. Should the numbers not completing become statistically significant (>5\%) we will be in a position to |


|  |  | non-completion. <br> The <br> University has also changed the banding for assessment, while also reducing workload in line with our peer institutions, which should ensure that more students graduate with an MLitt. |  |  |  |  |  | introduce measures to alleviate the problem. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/end | date) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 4.10 | Increase percentage of female PGR applicants converting to entrants to bring it in line | We assessed the data supplied by Planning and Statistics and agreed that our website should be more | Informed this AP (see also AP 5.18) | As for PGT students (see above AP 4.6), we will make sure our PGR webpages emphasise maternity/paternity leave, childcare | Spring <br> 2018 <br> prospec- <br> tus | 2022 | DoPGR <br> School IT Officer | Webpages clearly advertise our provisions for inclusivity, such as maternity/ paternity leave. Percentage of PGR |


|  | with national average (currently c. 54\%). | informative about inclusivity. |  | provision and our openness to taking part-time students. |  |  |  | female entrants is within 5\% of national average. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 4.11 | Encourage and maintain highquality applications for PGR from women. | After discussion in the SAT we agreed that our own UG and PGT female students are a key potential cohort, and that we could help them understand the value of PGR training. | Informed this AP | E\&DC to organise an informal session on careers in history for UG and PGT women, to be repeated annually. | Oct 18 | annual | E\&DC | Regular attendance at session on careers and higher numbers of highquality applicants from our own UG and PGT cohort ( $\geq 20 \%$ ). |
| 4.12 | Encourage and maintain highquality applications for PGR from women. | See 4.11 | Informed this AP | Ensure presentations and both text and images in School PGR recruitment materials are always diverse and gender- | For 2019 entry | annually | DoPGR | Better recruitment leads to sustained increase in high quality applications from women ( $\geq$ 10\% above 2018 level). |


|  |  |  |  | balanced and include information on University provision for maternity/paternity leave, childcare and our openness to taking part time students. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/end | ate) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 4.13 | To balance the proportion of men and women on fixed-term and open-ended contracts. | Discussed in SAT following feedback from an external review. |  | We will start by organising a focus group to document and assess the experience of current colleagues and use their responses to devise appropriate interventions. | 10/2018 |  | HoS and SAT chair | Focus group identifies actions appropriate to help improve the gender proportions of colleagues on fixed-term and open-ended contracts (to within $5 \%$ of gender parity) informing new Aps. |
| 4.14 | To acquire better | Discussed in SAT and data on | Informed this AP | To encourage a higher response rate | May 18 | Ongoing | SOC | Most departing colleagues |


|  | information about why colleagues leave and to use information to intervene should it prove appropriate. | responses to University exit questionnaire acquired. |  | for the University's exit questionnaire the SOC will write to all departing colleagues and invite a response. The SAT will review the data annually. |  | SAT chair | complete exit questionnaire. <br> SAT minutes show review of data annually and SAT uses the information to inform future strategies, with APs as appropriate. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Timefr } \\ \text { (start/6 } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.1 | Attract strong applications from women for academic posts in the School. | Discussion in the SAT identified the information available to candidates as a key element in attracting applicants. <br> School is working with the University in attracting BME | Informed this AP <br> (see also 5.2) | Website to be updated to ensure that potential staff are aware of the School's commitment to E\&D and inclusivity, with policies on mentoring, parental leave, and flexible working. | Ongoing | E\&DC | Website has clear information about School policies on E\&D, mentoring, parental leave and flexible working. Number of strong applications from women for academic posts in the School increases by c.10\% a year until a |


|  |  | applications through the Race Charter initiative. |  |  |  |  |  | consistent average of no more than $5 \%$ difference in female-male applications is achieved. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.2 | Attract strong female applications to academic posts in the School. | Discussion in the SAT and at Institutional level led to a revision of our advertising. | Advertising for all posts since <br> December 2017 specify that women are underrepresented in Arts posts in the University and mention our commitment to Equality, including AS. | Ensure advertising for all posts mentions the lack of female representation and our commitment to AS. <br> We will implement a policy of no singlesex shortlists for academic posts through institutional HR processes. | Ongoing |  | HoS | Number of strong applications from women for academic posts in the School increases by c. 10\% a year until a consistent average of no more than $5 \%$ difference in female-male applications is achieved. |
| 5.3 | Improve the inclusivity of | Problems for new staff identified by O\&C Working | Informed this AP | In the first week of employment all new staff (teaching and | Pre-session- | Each <br> Semester | Degree Committee Chairs; | Staff E\&DS shows staff satisfied with |


|  | induction into the School. | party. Also discussed in SAT. |  | research) to meet with HoS. <br> Teaching-only staff to meet the DoT, ASO and relevant DCM Chair; <br> PSS staff to meet with the SOC; <br> Research-only staff to meet PI. <br> Monitoring of effectiveness to occur via new question on staff E\&D survey. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { al week } \\ & 09 / 2018 \end{aligned}$ |  | SOC; <br> Supervisors of Research Staff; <br> SAT (to devise new E\&DS question). | induction process (>75\%). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/en | date) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.4 | Assist new staff in becoming familiar with the School Organisational | Information problems for new and in-post staff identified by O\&C Working party. | Informed this AP | All relevant documentation on leading dates, school committee membership, | May/ June annually | annual | School IT officer in liaison with all School Office-holders. | Staff E\&DS shows staff satisfied with information flow in the School ( $\geq 75 \%$ ). |


|  | Culture and allow all staff easy access to up-to-date policies and information. | Also discussed in SAT. |  | teaching, research and E\&D policies to be updated before the summer break each year: School IT officer to have scheduled interviews with all continuing and incoming officeholders in May/June each year and upload to website. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/end | ate) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.5 | Assist new staff in becoming familiar with colleagues and feeling included. | Problem identified in SAT discussion, in part related to size and split-site location of School. Agreed to hold an informal lunch for all staff | First informal lunch held before SSC in September 2017. | Informal lunch to be held before the first SSC of the year, every year. <br> New colleagues to be contacted for feedback in May, asked if they are happy for their responses to be | Yearly <br> Sept and <br> May | Annual | SOC <br> SAT chair to contact colleagues for feedback in May and 12 for | May feedback shows new staff satisfied (>75\%). |


|  |  | before the first SSC of the year. |  | shared and if so, written comments reviewed by SAT in September. If not satisfied, modifications to be agreed in consultation with recent staff. |  |  | discussion in September. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefra (start/e | ate) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.6 | Increase the number (and proportion) of female Readers and Professors in the School on open-ended contracts. | The O\&C working party and SAT discussed possible interventions, agreeing on an annual academic promotion workshop open to all academic staff in grades 58, chaired by the HoS and a professorial | In 2017, 10 staff attended, 4 female and 6 male, and feedback was very positive. 5 women were promoted, including one to professor. See also AP 5.7 | An annual academic promotion workshop open to all staff in grades 5-8 chaired by the HoS and a professorial colleague of the opposite gender, timed to coincide with the beginning of the promotion cycle. | Feb 18 | Annual | HoS | Proportion of female readers and professors increases to within $\leq 5 \%$ of gender group percentage in the School. |


|  |  | colleague of the opposite gender, and timed to coincide with the beginning of the promotion cycle. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.7 | Increase the number (and proportion) of female Readers and Professors in the School. | The O\&C working group agreed that following the promotions workshop individual consultation on applications would be useful. | See AP 5.6 | The HoS and the same professorial colleague who attended promotion workshop to offer follow-up individual consultation on applications. | Feb 18 | Annual | HoS | Proportion of female readers and professors increases to within $\leq 5 \%$ of gender group percentage in the School. |
| 5.8 | Increase the number (and proportion) of female Readers and Professors in the School and provide guidance for | HoS offered feedback to unsuccessful applicants in 2017. | Informed this AP | Once the promotion process is completed, the HoS (or the Deputy Principal of the University) to continue to offer feedback to | May 18 | Annual | HoS/Deputy Principal | Applicants who are not successful gain insight into the reasons and guidance on how to succeed in a future round. |


|  | those who were not successful to identify what actions can be taken to support colleagues in advance of subsequent applications. |  |  | unsuccessful applicants to identify what actions can be taken to support colleagues in advance of subsequent applications. |  |  |  | Number of reapplications increases. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefra (start/e | ate) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.9 | To ensure REF preparation process treats all staff equally. | Discussion with DoR (present and past) established that gender balance was carefully monitored and maintained in the 2008 RAE and 2014 REF exercises in relation to outputs, and will | The evaluation team for REF2021, selected by the DoR from available grade 8 \& 9 colleagues includes 8 women out of 19 - 42\% approximately in line with gender | In the run up to REF2021 the HoS and DoR will continue to maintain gender balance of staff involved in preparation meetings and in evaluation of colleagues' work (percentage to be established once REF guidelines finalised). | May 18 | 12/2021 | DoR and HoS | Gender balance of staff involved in preparation, submission and evaluation for REF matches gender proportion of female-male submittable staff. |


|  |  | continue to be for REF2021. The preparation of Impact case studies in 2014 was led by the DoR (female) who wrote the template, but the four case studies were led by senior male colleagues. A Dol (female) has been appointed for REF2021. | ratios in the School. | The HoS and Dol will invite female colleagues to develop impact activities with a view to eventually submitting REF case studies for 2021 or c.2027, while bearing in mind the proportionally low representation of female grade 9 colleagues. |  |  | Dol and HoS | Impact casestudies led by female staff beginning to take shape by 2021. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/en | ate) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.10 | Increase female participation in leadership roles in the School and University. | We identified <br> Aurora <br> Leadership training and the University's Elisabeth Garrett mentoring | Two colleagues have been supported to complete the Aurora programme (2014/15: 1 | Continue to encourage all nonprofessorial academic women in the School to attend the Aurora \& Elisabeth Garrett | Ongoing | 2021 | HoS and E\&DC | All eligible women in the School to have completed the Aurora Leadership programme by 2021 (unless they |


|  |  | programme as useful tools to develop female confidence in leadership roles. | Reader since promoted to Professor, and 2016/17: 1 Senior Lecturer); 2 colleagues are participating in 2017-18: 1 <br> Lecturer and 1 Reader). | Leadership Programmes. |  |  |  | explicitly do not wish to). <br> $\geq 50 \%$ of women will have been involved in leadership roles in the School and/or University. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Timefra } \\ & \text { (start/e } \end{aligned}$ | te) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.11 | Ensure staff have useful and constructive feedback from line manager / supervisor | Included question <br> about <br> management <br> feedback in staff <br> E\&DS; in <br> analysing the responses, identified the question text (a reference to 'manager/supervisor' and no direct reference | Informed this AP | Revise the question for the next E\&DS to clarify, and organise a focus group to devise a new system of feedback from 2018-2019. We will continue to ensure that women are always proportionally represented as | Oct 18 | 2021 | SAT and E\&DC (for E\&D survey); <br> HoS (for gender balance of appraisers). | Negative responses (disagree/strongly disagree) to staff E\&DS question about feedback significantly reduced/ eliminated. |


|  |  | to appraisals) as confusing for some respondents. |  | appraisers in any new scheme. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.12 | Improve staff perception of their potential career progression | E\&DS identified low satisfaction with career progression prospects, with the particularly low satisfaction rate among women (40\%) a cause for significant concern. | Informed this AP | All staff below grade 9 , including postdoctoral researchers, to be offered mentors by HoS on an opt-out, not opt-in basis. | Sept 18 | ongoing | HoS in consultation with SAT and E\&DC | Staff E\&DS shows greater satisfaction with career prospects (> 60\% agreeing). |
| 5.13 | Improve <br> postdoctoral and early career colleagues' confidence in their career prospects. | The SAT and E\&DS identified the first book hurdle as a major reason for lack of confidence about career prospects. | Informed this AP | The School will host an annual workshop on publication strategies and turning PhD theses into books, inviting external | Nov 18 and yearly thereafter | 2021 | E\&DC and HoS | E\&DS shows clear improvement (>10\%) in Early Career colleagues' confidence about career prospects. |


|  |  |  |  | input from a publisher. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.14 | Improve postdoctoral and early career colleagues' confidence in their career prospects. | The E\&DS identified (a) that postdoctoral researchers don't feel sufficiently integrated within the school, beyond their own research team/PI and (b) that the School has not kept official record of the next steps taken by postdoctoral researchers after they leave us. | Informed this AP (which was drawn up with a postdoc member of the SAT). | A postdoctoral coffee session, without PI present, will be held once a year, including a gender balanced group of staff (e.g. DoR, HoS and two senior colleagues). We will also document where our postdoctoral fellows go after working in St Andrews. | May 18, then ongoing | 2021 | DoR | E\&DS responses show that postdoctoral researchers feel integrated within the School ( $\geq 60 \%$ satisfied); full dataset available recording postdoctoral researchers' next steps from end $A / Y$ 2018-19. |
| 5.15 | Improve staff perception of their potential | E\&DS identified a particularly low satisfaction rate with career | Informed this AP | The E\&DC to introduce an informal 'Women Historians' event to | Nov 18, then yearly | 2021 | E\&DC | Staff E\&DS shows greater satisfaction with career prospects among |


|  | career progression. | progression prospects among women (40\%). |  | highlight female achievement in the discipline and discuss the opportunities and constraints faced by women in their careers. <br> DoPGR will also encourage engagement with events organised through the Scottish Graduate School for Arts and Humanities. |  |  | DoPGR | women ( $\geq 60 \%$ agreeing). <br> St Andrews female staff regularly involved in Scottish Graduate School for Arts and Humanities networking events. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/en | ate) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.16 | To ensure gender balance in provision of internship opportunities to UG students. | The SAT investigated and discussed the gender balance of applications \& successful candidates for those internship | Informed this AP | Continue School funded paid UG internship scheme and collect data about gender takeup to match that supplied for URAS internships. Ensure | Sept 18, then ongoing | 2021 | DoR | Full dataset about gender take-up of School funded UG internship scheme from A/Y 2018-19; evidence demonstrating that the success rate for |


|  |  | schemes where data has been collated (Laidlaw \& URAS). |  | success rate remains proportional to gender of UG cohort and use studentauthored blogs on the School's social media to highlight their appeal. Encourage application for Laidlaw scheme. |  |  |  | those schemes run entirely within the School remains proportional to gender of UG cohort. <br> Evidence that UG historians applying for Laidlaw scheme. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/en | date) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.17 | To ensure fair distribution of PGT scholarships and therefore accepted offers by gender. | SAT recognised that despite advertising of Mlitt Scholarships on School and University webpages and Gender-balanced PG committee assessing on basis of academic | Informed this AP | Ensure all applicants for MLitt are aware of the opportunity to apply for funding, PGT coordinators to offer advice on applications; PG committee to assess on basis of academic record. DoPGs and the HoS (who oversee the process | 2018-19 cycle | Repeated annually. | DoPGT and HoS | Strong applications for MLitt funding received and fair distribution of scholarships by gender (in proportion to gender of entrant cohort). |


|  |  | record, success rates vary widely. |  | but do not participate in the scoring) will check the list against the gender proportion of all applicants. In case of significant divergence, the DoPGs and HoS retain the right, in consultation with the committee, to compare applications either side of the cut-off line and to adjust the ranking if justified. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/end | date) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.18 | To ensure equal distribution of PGR scholarships, and therefore | Discussion in SAT and with current PG students identified funding as a major issue | Discussion informed this AP (and 4.10) | The School will undertake to ensure that the evaluation team is gender balanced. Before | Annual from A/Y 2018-19 | 2021 | DoPGR | Gender profile of applicants matches or is very similar to the gender profile of scholarship |



|  |  |  |  | assessment panels will reflect on the gender balance of awardees. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.19 | To ensure the fair distribution by gender of teaching opportunities for GTAs. | As of 2017, the <br> School <br> implemented the <br> University's policy <br> on Graduate <br> Students who <br> Teach. As part of this: teaching roles are formally advertised and applied for; GTAs are offered training and mentoring; and the School ensures that the amount of teaching taken on by each student | Currently in action for the first time. <br> The gender distribution in the first semester of AY 2017-8 was $57 \%$ female. | The DoT will ensure that allocation of teaching opportunities is congruent with the gender distribution of those who apply for them. If the underrepresented group fail to apply, the DoT will liaise with supervisors and the E\&DC to encourage more applicants. The DoT will also introduce an anonymous exit questionnaire to acquire better | Ongoing | 2021 | DoT and E\&DC | Gender profile of GTAs congruent to pool of applicants and to overall PGR numbers. Feedback from anonymous questionnaires considered by DoT and used to inform future strategies. |


|  |  | does not go above a stipulated limit. |  | information on their experience of teaching. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.20 | To ensure colleagues going on Maternity or Paternity leave have confidence and clarity about the procedures involved. | Currently the member of staff discusses their needs with the HoS and with a representative from HR. <br> E\&DS feedback and discussion in SAT led to a revised policy. | Informed this AP (see also 5.19, 5.22). | As well as the joint meeting with HR, the HoS will have a separate formal consultation with the member of staff to identify goals and concerns for the leave period and return to work. | Started AY 2017/18 |  | HoS | Coherent and readily accessible information regarding procedures and entitlements is available to staff going on Maternity and Paternity Leave. This is reflected in Staff E\&DS responses. |


| $\mathbf{5 . 2 1}$ | To allow <br> colleagues with <br> children or <br> other caring <br> needs to find <br> support. | Discussed in SAT. | University <br> networks <br> established and <br> E\&DC chair <br> circulates details <br> of meetings and. <br> links via email. | All staff to be <br> notified and kept <br> informed about <br> University-wide <br> carers' and parents' <br> networks. We will <br> also include <br> anonymous case <br> studies on the staff <br> intranet. | Started <br> AY | 2017/18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  |  | including an updated webpage. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.23 | To create an inclusive working environment including parents of school-age children. | Discussed in SAT: <br> Since the University has decided not to change vacation dates in line with local schools, it was agreed that we should encourage switching lectures so that no individual is disproportionately affected. | Discussion informed this AP | Coordinators of Subhonours modules will be invited to switch the sequence of lecturing during school vacations if possible, so that no individual is disproportionately affected. | May 2018 | ongoing | DoT and DCM chairs | Improved satisfaction rating in E\&DS (>10\% increase) among colleagues with school-age children. |
| 5.24 | To ensure all members of staff, including those entering on fixed-term contracts, are | Discussed in the SAT | This information has been included on the School's E\&D webpage. | To ensure all members of staff, including those entering on fixedterm contracts, are aware of their |  |  | HoS | Improved satisfaction (>10\% better) in E\&DS responses to questions about maternity and |


|  | aware of their <br> entitlements <br> regarding <br> maternity and <br> paternity leave. |  |  | entitlements <br> regarding maternity <br> and paternity leave, <br> this information has <br> been included on <br> the School's E\&D <br> webpage, and will <br> be verbally drawn to <br> the attention of new <br> members of <br> staff during <br> induction. |  | paternity leave <br> provision. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April <br> 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action <br> planned | Timeframe <br> (start/end date) | Responsibility | Criteria for success <br> and outcome |
| $\mathbf{5 . 2 5}$ | To ensure that <br> all staff know of <br> their <br> entitlement to <br> apply for formal <br> flexible working. | Discussed in SAT <br> and agreed this <br> should be <br> included in <br> induction <br> process. | Discussion <br> informed this AP | We will inform staff <br> of their entitlement <br> to apply <br> for formal flexible <br> working via the <br> induction <br> process. SOC will <br> also store data on <br> take-up of carer <br> days and we will <br> include discussion of | Sept 18 |  | HoS, DoT <br> DCM Chairs <br> (as office <br> holders <br> responsible for <br> personal <br> induction <br> meetings). |
| E\&DS response <br> shows staff know <br> of and use their <br> entitlements as <br> appropriate to <br> their <br> circumstances. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/en |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.27 | Make students aware of gender diversity in the discipline of history. | Discussed the need in SAT and SSC particularly in view of low response rate to E\&DS. | Discussion informed this AP. | We will highlight to students the genderrelated content of first and second year survey courses in course handbooks and in lectures. <br> We will also make diversity in the curriculum a required consideration in all new module proposals, taking into account the HEA 'Embedding E\&D in the Curriculum' workshop (St Andrews Nov/Dec 2016) learning, inline with the University Inclusive | Sept 18 | Repeat <br> each <br> semester | Module coordinators and DoT. | Module handbooks for first and second year survey course signpost material on gender. |


|  |  |  |  | Curriculum Toolkit (and utilising ECU resources). |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.28 | Draw students' attention to the contributions made by female historians. | After discussion in SAT we agreed to fund a student intern to revise all bibliographies to include authors' first names. | The reading lists for first and second year survey courses have, from 201718, included the first names of all authors. | We will extend bibliography requirements to Honours and PG. | Sept 18 | annually | DoT SAT | All module handbooks include authors' first names. |
| 5.29 | To promote equality and inclusivity in an open working environment | SAT and E\&DC agreed that the current Gazette and Monthly Round-up are not as effective as they could be but are very time consuming. | Informed this AP | The information about staff activities included in the Gazette and Monthly round up will be taken from PURE (repository of activities and publications), to reduce the need for duplication of effort. | Sept 18 | ongoing | School <br> Communication Officers | Gazette and Monthly Round-Up emails are perceived as inclusive by all colleagues, as measured by a new E\&DS survey questions. |


| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.30 | Draw students' attention to the importance of gender and equality. | The School offers numerous specialised modules which cover gender and equality and we agreed this needs to be maintained. | Informed this AP | When planning delivery of third and four-year UG modules each year, the DoT and ASO to ensure that a selection of these modules and topics is available to students each year <br> University Planning will also start to track take-up by gender and inform the SAT each semester. | Feb 2018 | yearly | DoT and ASO <br> SAT chair to contact <br> University <br> Planning Office | Course handbooks show continued regular listing of modules and topics related to gender and diversity is available <br> Data collected and investigated to inform development of ways to broaden take up to 50/50 male female, if necessary. |


| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.31 | Ensure all staff and students feel able to act on bullying, harassment and discrimination. | Discussed E\&DS survey results in SAT. | Informed this AP <br> (See also 5.32). | All HR policies on bullying, harassment and discrimination, including the University's new 'Dignity and Respect at Work' document are linked to the School's own E\&D webpage. | Feb 2018 | Ongoing | E\&DC chair, liaising with School IT officer. | Website includes link to relevant HR policies. Clearer confidence expressed by respondents to E\&DS (>10\% improvement). |
| 5.32 | Ensure all staff and students feel able to act on bullying, harassment and discrimination should they witness it. | Question about bullying, harassment and discrimination included in Staff and Student E\&DS. | See also 5.31 | E\&DC to monitor data for consistency in application of bullying, harassment and discrimination policies and use the findings to inform the development of actions as needed. <br> This will be a fixed agenda item at first | Sept 2018 | Ongoing | E\&DC chair <br> SAT chair | Clearer confidence expressed by respondents to E\&DS (>10\% improvement). |


| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | E\&DC meeting each year. <br> Further action planned |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.33 | Reduce incidence of unconscious bias and increase inclusivity of the School. | Introduced online unconscious bias and diversity training for staff. | 73 colleagues in History completed unconscious bias training and 124 (including GTAs) completed diversity in the workplace training between April 2016 and January 2018. | All new staff to complete online training modules on diversity and unconscious bias. <br> In response to both staff feedback and national media (BBC etc.) highlighting of the limited effects of online training, we recognise the need for further reinforcement and in 2018-19 we will invite Equality Challenge Unit to give training to staff in the School together with other | Ongoing |  | E\&DC chair <br> SAT chair | Data on completion of training matches staff numbers. <br> Monitored attendance at ECU training sessions. |


|  |  |  |  | schools in the <br> Faculty. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April <br> 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action <br> planned | Timeframe <br> (start/end date) | Responsibility | Criteria for success <br> and outcome |
| Reduce <br> incidence of <br> unconscious <br> bias and <br> increase <br> inclusivity of the <br> School. | Introduced online <br> unconscious bias <br> and diversity <br> training for <br> students. |  | From the start of <br> 2017-18, all students <br> are invited to <br> complete an online <br> training module on <br> diversity and <br> unconscious bias <br> during initial <br> lectures and <br> tutorials and via an <br> email from the Chair <br> of the E\&DC. | Ongoing | E\&DC Chair | Monitoring of <br> numbers <br> completing the <br> online module <br> shows increased <br> uptake. E\&DS <br> survey results <br> show reduction in <br> concern about <br> discrimination and |  |
| unconscious bias |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (>10\%). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | should they witness it. | introduced to PGRs, PGTs and UGs in induction sessions at the start of each year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.36 | Ensure that gender balance of committees and gender aware policies are maintained and further developed. | E\&DC and SAT chairs invited to attend the Executive once a semester and $E \& D$ is a fixed agenda item. | E\&DC chair invited from autumn 2017. SAT chair included from Sept. 2018. | Ensure the E\&DC and SAT chairs are invited to attend the Executive and that E\&D is a standing agenda item. <br> HoS to seek gender balance of core committees, as a matter of School policy. | Ongoing |  | HoS <br> SAT | Attendance <br> minuted; E\&D <br> items on the agenda; checking of gender balance in committee membership minuted by SAT. <br> Women proportionately represented on all committees. |
| 5.37 | Ensure AS principles are at the heart of School | Principles discussed by SAT and at SSC in 2017 and 2018. | Discussions informed this AP. | Ensure that one SAT member, who is fully briefed on the Schools E\&D agenda, always sits on the | Ongoing |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAT } \\ & \text { Dot } \end{aligned}$ | Changes to committee membership. |


|  | management culture. |  |  | Teaching Committee. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.38 | Increase <br> participation on influential external committees. |  | Recognised that AP 5.36 feeds into this AP, because many appointments are ex officio linked to School roles. | Ensure that in the new appraisal/ feedback process to be devised, mentors and appraisers discuss the value of external appointments in terms of career progression, particularly with female colleagues. | Sept 18 |  | Appraisers HoS | All colleagues aware of benefits of participation in influential external committees. <br> Monitored by E\&DS. |
| 5.39 | Workload model widely agreed to be fair. | Attitude to workload model assessed by E\&DS and discussed in O\&C working party. | Workload weighting has been adjusted in order to encourage cosupervision and thus broaden participation in | The number of cosupervised PGRs will be recorded on a yearly basis. | Ongoing |  | DoPGR SAT | Increase in cosupervision of postgraduate students. <br> More even distribution of teaching hours for PGR supervision, |


|  |  |  | PGR supervision across the School. <br> Entrant numbers now measured for spread of supervision beyond grade 9 (2017-18: 17 by grade 9; 9 by grade 8 and below). |  |  |  | leading to more equal distribution of teaching at UG level. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/end | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.40 | That colleagues perceive workload model to be fair. | Attitude to workload model assessed by E\&DS and discussed in O\&C working party. It was realised that we have no sense of gender implications. | Informed this AP. | From 2018-19 the <br> DoT will start to store data about workloads on a gender basis and provide this information to the SAT. Any significant trends in the data gathered will be used to shape policy on distribution of | Ongoing | DoT <br> SAT | Data available on gender balance in teaching loads overall, and at different levels of curriculum. <br> Monitoring of this information will inform improvements to the workload model as needed. |


|  |  |  |  | teaching and administrative duties. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.41 | Ensure that regular departmental meetings are accessible to staff with caring or other responsibilities. | Consultation with University AS team over best practice. | From session 2017-18 new core hour strategy introduced. | All School meetings to be scheduled in core hours, between 9.30 am and 4 pm . <br> This includes the <br> Executive, SSC, <br> Teaching Committee, Postgraduate Committee, the Degree Committees, SAT and E\&DC. | Ongoing |  | SOC and committee chairs. | Policy to be maintained and perceived as normal practice. |
| 5.42 | Ensure that extraordinary departmental meetings and other social events are accessible to staff with caring | Non-core meetings are increasingly being organised by doodle polls (or equivalent). | Dissemination of policy within School. | Colleagues to continue to manage non-core meetings and social events in a thoughtful manner that facilitates full participation, using doodle polls (or | Ongoing |  | All colleagues organising a meeting. | Policy maintained and perceived as normal practice, reflected in E\&DS responses. |



| 5.43 | Ensure that the culture of School meetings encourages all participants to feel confident to contribute. | Discussed by SAT following analysis of Staff E\&DS. | Informed this AP | The Executive to include a brief description of key items for discussion on the SSC agenda circulated in advance, in order to give colleagues time to prepare thoughts and interventions. | Ongoing | SOC and HoS | All colleagues more confident to speak and be heard at meetings; to be monitored by E\&DS. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/en | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.44 | Ensure that <br> Research seminars are arranged so as to enable participation by all members of the School. | Investigation of issue through E\&DS; issue discussed by SAT. | Some seminar programmes have begun to introduce lunchtime meetings. | Seminar coordinators to continue to experiment with occasional lunchtime meetings, especially for internal speakers. | Ongoing | Seminar <br> Programme Coordinators. | Greater satisfaction with the flexibility of the seminar programmes, to be monitored by E\&DSs. |
| 5.45 | Ensure that Research seminars are accessible to | Issue discussed by SAT. | Some seminar programmes have begun recording seminars. | Seminar papers that take place outside core hours to be recorded when | Ongoing | Seminar <br> Programme Coordinators. | Increased satisfaction regarding seminar accessibility, to be |


|  | those prevented from attendance by other responsibilities. |  |  | speaker agrees and uploaded to the web for those unable to attend. |  |  |  | monitored by E\&DS. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April 2018 | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) |  | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.46 | To facilitate greater ease of interaction and inclusivity within the School. | From January 2017 to February 2018 coffee mornings alternated between the Mediaeval and Modern buildings, but take up was poor. | Discussion at SSC led to decision to move to the 'Wednesday bag lunch'. | Each week during teaching, unless a 'School teaching' 'E\&DI or 'Research' lunch is scheduled there will be an informal 'bag lunch' at 1 pm on a Wednesday, to which all staff, including PSS, are invited. A room will be booked for this purpose, alternating between the buildings; coffee and tea will be supplied | Sept 18 |  | SOC | Greater uptake of 'bag lunch' relative to coffee mornings leads to stronger interaction and sense of inclusivity in the School, measured by responses to E\&DSs. |


|  |  |  |  | and reminders will be sent out by SOC. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timeframe (start/end date) | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| 5.47 | Provide more visible female role models for Staff and for students; ensure greater gender balance with seminar speakers. | Data collected for eight seminar programmes in the School; issue discussed by SAT and SSC. | New policy agreed by SSC | Seminar coordinators will continue to maintain a minimum of $40 \%$ female speakers across the 8 seminar series. | Ongoing | Seminar Coordinators <br> SAT to monitor | Target of at least $40 \%$ female speakers to be met consistently. |
| 5.48 | Provide more visible female role models for Staff and for students. | Establishment of new annual lecture on the History of Women, Gender and Sexuality. | First annual lecture on 8 March 2017 well attended (the lecture for 2018 had to be rescheduled because of UCU strike: now to be May 2018). | The School has set aside a budget of £750 per year to continue the History of Women, Gender and Sexuality lecture series, which will be organised in future by the E\&DC. | Ongoing annually | E\&DC | Maintain lecture programme, funding and strong attendance numbers. |


| Ref | Objective | Actions to April $2018$ | Impact April 2018 | Further action planned | Timefram (start/end | Responsibility | Criteria for success and outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.48 | Provide more visible female role models for Staff and for students. | Establishment of new annual lecture on the History of Women, Gender and Sexuality. | First annual lecture on 8 March 2017 well attended (the lecture for 2018 had to be rescheduled because of UCU strike: now to be May 2018). | The School has set aside a budget of £750 per year to continue the History of Women, Gender and Sexuality lecture series, which will be organised in future by the E\&DC. | Ongoing <br> annually | E\&DC | Maintain lecture programme, funding and strong attendance numbers. |
| 5.49 | Support the efforts of the student History Society to promote Women's History as part of its activities. | Support provided by the School for the Society's workshop in 2017; both the dHoS and the E\&DC Chair were speakers. | Commitment of History Society to continue such events has led to a further event in 2018. | SSC, SAT and E\&DC to encourage academic staff to provide the support the Society needs. | Ongoing | SSC SAT and E\&DC | Continuation by the Student History Society of events marking Women's History. |
| 5.50 | Ensure that staff and student contributions to outreach | Discussion in the SAT in response to the questions on the AS | Informed this AP | We will accurately record, via PURE and our School Communication | Ongoing | HoS <br> Communications team | School has data on staff and student outreach activities, including |


| activities are recognised, to assist with planning and ensure that the School is presenting a balanced outward facing image. | submission template. <br> Agreed that we need to record and recognise this activity. |  | Officers, all staff participation in outreach events by gender and grade. |  |  | SAT | breakdown by gender and grade. If this shows an imbalance by summer 2019, the SAT will use the information to devise a new AP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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[^0]:    * Staff data are presented as headcount and students as FTE throughout (unless otherwise stated). See also section 7.

[^1]:    † Dr Heal and Prof Whatmore went on leave 09/2017.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ https://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/staff/policy/hr/
    ${ }^{4}$ https://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/hr/edi/

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ https://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/staff/policy/hr/

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ https://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/staff/policy/hr/

